

FAYETTE LEGAL JOURNAL

VOL. 87

AUGUST 3, 2024

NO. 31



FAYETTE LEGAL JOURNAL

The FAYETTE LEGAL JOURNAL is published weekly by the Fayette County Bar Association, 45 East Main Street, Suite 100, Uniontown, Pennsylvania 15401, 724-437-7994. Legal advertisements should be submitted online at www.fcbar.org no later than 12:00 noon on Friday for publication the following Saturday. No date of publication is promised, however. Legal notices are published exactly as submitted by the advertiser. Copyright 2001 Fayette County Bar Association. All rights reserved.

Co-Editors: Garnet L. Gordon and Melinda Deal Dellarose

Cover Design by Marvin R. Mayle, 207 Lick Hollow Road, Hopwood, PA

FAYETTE COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION Board of Directors

President: Gretchen A. Mundorff

President Elect: Sheryl R. Heid

Vice-President: Michelle L. Kelley

Secretary: Anne N. John

Treasurer: Louise D. Monaghan

Past President: John A. Kopas, III

Executive Director: Garnet L. Gordon

Directors

Jennifer M. Casini

Rachel A. Clark

Sarah E. Connelly

Jeremy J. Davis

James E. Higinbotham, Jr.

Sean M. Lementowski

ETHICS HOTLINE

The Ethics Hotline provides free advisory opinions to PBA members based upon review of a member's prospective conduct by members of the PBA Committee on Legal Ethics and Professional Responsibility. The committee responds to requests regarding, the impact of the provisions of the Rules of Professional Conduct or the Code of Judicial Conduct upon the inquiring member's proposed activity. All inquiries are confidential.

Call (800) 932-0311, ext. 2214.

LAWYERS CONCERNED FOR LAWYERS

Our assistance is confidential,
non-judgmental, safe, and effective

To talk to a lawyer today, call:

1-888-999-1941

717-541-4360

ESTATE NOTICES

Notice is hereby given that letters testamentary or of administration have been granted to the following estates. All persons indebted to said estates are required to make payment, and those having claims or demands to present the same without delay to the administrators or executors named.

Third Publication

JAMES AUGUSTINE, a/k/a JAMES EDWARD AUGUSTINE, late of South Union Township, Fayette County, PA (3)

Executor: Ben Junior Augustine
c/o Goodwin Como, P.C.
108 North Beeson Boulevard, Suite 400
Uniontown, PA 15401
Attorney: Amanda Como

EDWARD PAVLOSKY, a/k/a EDMUND F. PAVLOSKY, late of Dunbar Township, Fayette County, PA (3)

Personal Representatives:
Carol Ann Shultz and Frances Iaquina and
Paula Goretsky
127 North 11th Street
Connellsville, PA 15425
c/o Fieschko & Associates, Inc.
300 Cedar Boulevard, Suite 202
Pittsburgh, PA 15228
Attorney: Joseph Fieschko

W. LOIS PODVIA, a/k/a LOIS WILDA PODVIA, late of South Union Township, Fayette County, PA (3)

Executor: Mark Podvia
c/o Davis & Davis
107 East Main Street
Uniontown, PA 15401
Attorney: James T. Davis

DOLORES R. SMITH, a/k/a DOLORES RUTH SMITH, late of Georges Township, Fayette County, PA (3)

Executrix: Vivian K. Smith
c/o 51 East South Street
Uniontown, PA 15401
Attorney: Webster & Webster

SANDRA L. SOHYDA, late of Uniontown, Fayette County, PA (3)

Executor: David E. Sohyda
c/o Proden & O'Brien
99 East Main Street
Uniontown, PA 15401
Attorney: Wendy L. O'Brien

PATRICK STROMICK, a/k/a PATRICK J. STROMICK, late of South Union Township, Fayette County, PA (3)

Executor: Joseph Stromick
c/o DeHaas, Law, LLC
51 East South Street
Uniontown, PA 15401
Attorney: Ernest P. DeHaas, III

WILMA SUMMERS, a/k/a WILMA LUCILLE SUMMERS, a/k/a WILMA L. SUMMERS, late of South Union Township, Fayette County, PA

Executrix: Susan R. Golden (3)
c/o Higinbotham Law Offices
68 South Beeson Boulevard
Uniontown, PA 15401
Attorney: James E. Higinbotham, Jr.

Second Publication

MICHAEL L. FELL, a/k/a MICHAEL LAWRENCE FELL, late of Masontown, Fayette County, PA (2)

Personal Representative: Michael S. Fell
c/o Watson Mundorff, LLP
720 Vanderbilt Road
Connellsville, PA 15425
Attorney: Robert A. Gordon

SCOTT JOHN, a/k/a SCOTT H. JOHN, late of South Union Township, Fayette County, PA

Administratrix: Penny John (2)
c/o Higinbotham Law Offices
68 South Beeson Boulevard
Uniontown, PA 15401
Attorney: James E. Higinbotham, Jr.

SHIRLEY A. KOKESH, a/k/a SHIRLEY A. KOKES, late of Masontown, Fayette County, PA (2)

Executrix: Deborah A. Park
c/o Webster & Webster
51 East South Street
Uniontown, PA 15401
Attorney: Webster & Webster

MARY LOUISE KONETSCO, late of Dunbar Township, Fayette County, PA (2)

Personal Representative: Mary Jo Griffiths
c/o 208 South Arch Street, Suite 2
Connellsville, PA 15425
Attorney: Richard Husband

DEVONNA MAUST, a/k/a DEVONNA L. MAUST, late of North Union Township, Fayette County, PA (2)

Administratrix: Kristian Lee Maust
c/o Kopas Law Office
556 Morgantown Road
Uniontown, PA 15401
Attorney: John Kopas

First Publication

ROSE MARIE ADAMSKY, late of Dunbar, Fayette County, PA (1)

Executrix: Judith Lynn Chappel
136 Rinehart Lane
Waynesburg, PA 15370
c/o 54 South Washington Street
Waynesburg, PA 15370
Attorney: David Pollock

CAROLYN CICIRETTI, a/k/a CAROLYN J. CICIRETTI, late of Dunbar Township, Fayette County, PA (1)

Executrix: Jeananne M. Collins
791 Homestead Avenue
Scottdale, PA 15683
c/o Tremba Kinney Greiner & Kerr
1310 Morrell Avenue, Suite C
Connellsville, PA 15425
Attorney: John Greiner

JANET I. DANIELS, late of Ohiopyle, Fayette County, PA (1)

Co-Executrixes: Brenda L. Lear and Vicki Hayden
c/o 45 East Main Street, Suite 400

Uniontown, PA 15401
Attorney: Charles C. Gentile

KRISTEN GEEHRING, late of South Connellsville, Fayette County, PA (1)

Administrator: Travis C. Scanner
10369 Madison Avenue
Irwin, PA 15342
c/o Lupetin & Unatin, LLC
Grant Building
310 Grant Street, Suite 3204
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Attorney: Gregory Unatin

ANNA BARBARA KELLY, late of German Township, Fayette County, PA (1)

Administratrix: Barbara Ann Gibel
c/o Monaghan & Monaghan
57 East Main Street
Uniontown, PA 15401
Attorney: Gary D. Monaghan

DAVID MATTHEWS, late of Uniontown, Fayette County, PA (1)

Administrator: Zachary Matthews
262 Weavers Road
Greensburg, PA 15601

MARVIN MCCORMICK, a/k/a MARVIN MCCORMICK, late of South Union Township, Fayette County, PA (1)

Executor: Tammy Yekel
c/o 9 Court Street
Uniontown, PA 15401
Attorney: Vincent J. Roskovensky, II

LEGAL NOTICES

NOTICE OF REVOCABLE TRUST PURSUANT TO 20 PA C.S. SECTION 7755(c)

NOTICE is hereby given of the administration of The Joseph and Mary Louise Trust dated September 30, 1997. Mary Louise Konetsco, Settlor of the Trust of Dunbar Township, Fayette County, Pennsylvania, died on December 8, 2022. All persons having claims against Mary Louise Konetsco, or the Joseph and Mary Louise Trust are requested to make known the same to the Attorney named below. All persons indebted to Mary Louise Konetsco, or the Joseph and Mary Louise Trust are requested to make payment without delay to the Attorney named below.

Richard A. Husband, Esquire
208 South Arch Street, Suite 2
Connellsville, PA 15425 (2 of 3)

NOTICE

Notice is hereby given pursuant to 54 Pa. C.S.A. Section 311(g) that an Application for Registration of a Fictitious Name was filed on July 22, 2024 with the Pennsylvania Department of State- Bureau of Corporations and Charitable Organizations- to conduct a business in Fayette County under the fictitious name of Fayette Storage with its principal place of business located at 31 Monroe Street, City of Uniontown, PA 15401

The name of the individual interested in the business is Harriet J. Georgiana who resides at 7 Daniel Street, Uniontown, PA 15401.

Webster & Webster
51 East South Street
Uniontown, PA 15401

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF
FAYETTE COUNTY PENNSYLVANIA
CIVIL DIVISION
Number 1515 of 2023, G.D.

GERMAN TOWNSHIP PENNSYLVANIA,
PLAINTIFF,
V.
LITTLE KNIGHTS BASEBALL LEAGUE,
DEFENDANT.

RULE TO SHOW CAUSE

A RULE is hereby issued upon the above-named Defendant/Condemnee, LITTLE KNIGHTS BASEBALL LEAGUE, under and pursuant to Rule 307(a)(3) of the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure, (Pa.C.S.A. §307(a)(3)), to SHOW CAUSE why a writ of possession should not be issued to the Plaintiff/Condemnor, German Township, Pennsylvania, for that parcel of real property situated at Penn Street and Bessemer within German Township, Fayette County, Pennsylvania, containing .61 acres, designated by parcel identification number 15400006 and more particularly bounded and described in a deed recorded in the Office of the Recorder of Deeds for Fayette County, Pennsylvania in Deed Book Volume 1336, Page 645, of which the said Condemnee has refused possession to the said Condemnor. The said Rule is returnable within five (5) days from the date that service of the within Rule is perfected under and pursuant to the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure.

BY THE PROTHONOTARY:
Nina Capuzzi Frankhouser

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF
FAYETTE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA
ORPHANS' COURT DIVISION
NO. 2 ADOPT 2024

IN RE: ADOPTION OF
JOSIE JOHNSTON

NOTICE

TO: Robert Johnston

A petition has been filed asking the Court to put an end to all rights you have to your child, Josie Johnston. The court has set a hearing to consider ending your rights to your child. That hearing will be held in Courtroom No. 4 of the Fayette County Courthouse, Uniontown, Fayette County, Pennsylvania, on Thursday, **August 22, 2024 at 1:30 p.m.** Your presence is required at the hearing. You should contact Fayette County Children and Youth Services or their counsel Ewing Newcomer Esq., to obtain a copy of the petition prior to the hearing. You are warned that even if you fail to appear at the scheduled hearing the hearing will go on without you and your rights to your child may be ended by the court without your being there.

Your rights may also be subject to termination pursuant to subsection (d) if you fail to file wither an acknowledgement of paternity or claim of paternity pursuant to Section 5103 (relating to acknowledgment and claim of paternity), and fail to either appear at the hearing for the purpose of objecting to the termination of your rights or file a written objection to such termination with the court prior to the hearing.

YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO BE REPRESENTED AT THE HEARING BY A LAWYER. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP. THIS OFFICE CAN PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT HIRING A LAWYER.

IF YOU CANNOT AFFORD TO HIRE A LAWYER, THIS OFFICE MAY BE ABLE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT AGENCIES THAT MAY OFFER LEGAL SERVICES TO ELIGIBLE PERSONS AT A REDUCED FEE OR NO FEE.

PENNSYLVANIA LAWYER
REFERRAL SERVICE
PENNSYLVANIA BAR ASSOCIATION
100 SOUTH STREET
PO BOX 186
HARRISBURG, PA 17108
(800) 692-7375

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF
FAYETTE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA
ORPHANS' COURT DIVISION
NO. 4 ADOPT 2024

IN RE: ADOPTION OF
LIDIA WYMAN

NOTICE

TO: Cristen Wyman

A petition has been filed asking the Court to put an end to all rights you have to your child, Lidia Wyman. The court has set a hearing to consider ending your rights to your child. That hearing will be held in Courtroom No. 2 of the Fayette County Courthouse, Uniontown, Fayette County, Pennsylvania, on Wednesday, **August 14, 2024 at 1:30 p.m.** You are warned that even if you fail to appear at the scheduled hearing the hearing will go on without you and your rights to your child may be ended by the court without your being there.

YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO BE REPRESENTED AT THE HEARING BY A LAWYER. YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP. THIS OFFICE CAN PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT HIRING A LAWYER.

IF YOU CANNOT AFFORD TO HIRE A LAWYER, THIS OFFICE MAY BE ABLE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH INFORMATION ABOUT AGENCIES THAT MAY OFFER LEGAL SERVICES TO ELIGIBLE PERSONS AT A REDUCED FEE OR NO FEE.

PENNSYLVANIA LAWYER
REFERRAL SERVICE
PENNSYLVANIA BAR ASSOCIATION
100 SOUTH STREET

PO BOX 186
HARRISBURG, PA 17108
(800) 692-7375

Notice is hereby given that Articles of Incorporation have been filed with the Department of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on or before 5/13/2024, with respect to a proposed nonprofit corporation, Perryopolis Event Planning, Inc., which has been incorporated under the Nonprofit Corporation Law of 1988. The addr. of the registered office is 707 Long St. Ext., Fayette City, PA 15438. A brief summary of the purpose or purposes for which said corporation is organized is:

I. Purposes.

- a. The Corporation is organized and shall be operated solely and exclusively for charitable, scientific, religious, educational and other tax-exempt purposes enumerated under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the corresponding provisions of any subsequent tax law of the United States (the "Code") including, but not limited to, organizing and holding charitable events for the purpose of raising funds to be committed to public community purposes in Perryopolis, Pennsylvania. At all times and under all circumstances, the nature of the activities to be conducted, and the purposes to be promoted and carried out, by the Corporation shall be exclusively those within the purview of Section 501(c)(3) of the Code, or the corresponding provisions of any subsequent tax law of the United States, consistent with all of the requirements of Section 501(c)(3) of the Code, or the corresponding provisions of any subsequent tax law of the United States. The Corporation does not contemplate pecuniary gain or profit incidental or otherwise.
- b. No part of the net earnings or assets of the Corporation shall inure to the benefit of, or be distributable to, its managers, officers or other private persons, except that the Corporation shall be authorized and empowered to pay reasonable compensation for services rendered and to make payments and distributions in furtherance of the purposes of the Corporation. No part of the activities of the Corporation shall be the canying on of

propaganda, or otherwise attempting to influence legislation and the Corporation shall not participate in or intervene in (including the publishing or distribution of statements) any political campaign on behalf of (or in opposition to) any candidate for public office.

- b. The Corporation shall not canyon any activities not permitted to be carried on by (a) an entity exempt from federal income tax under Section 501(c)(3) of the Code or (b) an entity contributions to which are deductible under Section 170(a) of the Code.
 - c. For any year for which the Corporation is considered for federal tax purposes (i) a separate taxable entity and (ii) a private foundation within the meaning of Section 509 of the Code, the Company shall distribute for each taxable year such amounts, at such time and in such manner, as not to subject the Company to tax under Section 4942 of the Code. In addition, the Corporation:
 1. Shall not engage in any act of self-dealing (as defined in Section 4941(d) of the Code);
-

WARMAN ABSTRACT & RESEARCH LLC

JOHN F. WARMAN

518 Madison Drive

Smithfield, PA 15478

724-322-6529

johnfranciswarman@gmail.com

COMMERCIAL/RESIDENTIAL/CURRENT OWNER/MINERAL TITLE

A DECADE OF EXPERIENCE E&O INSURED WILL TRAVEL ACCEPTING NEW CLIENTS



DiBella Weinheimer

Geer McAllister Best Coco Lloyd Whalen



Holly Whalen ◊ Amy Coco ◊ Bethann Lloyd

INSIST UPON OUR EXPERIENCED TEAM

LAWYERS DEFENDING LAWYERS

- Disciplinary Board
- Legal Malpractice
- Security Fund / IOLTA
- Ethics & Conflict Analysis
- Subpoena Assistance
- Licensure
- Conflict Resolution

WWW.DIBELLA-WEINHEIMER.COM 412 261-2900

JUDICIAL OPINION

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF FAYETTE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA
CIVIL DIVISION

JACQUELINE M. BRASHEAR, :
Plaintiff, :
v. :
ROY W. BRASHEAR and KIM BRASHEAR, :
his wife; and TINA M. CONAWAY, :
Defendants. : No. 1992 of 2023, G.D.

OPINION AND ORDER

Leskinen, P.J.

June 21, 2024

Before the Court are Defendant's Preliminary Objections to Amended Complaint. Upon the consideration of the Objections and the Answer to Preliminary Objections, the briefs submitted by the parties, and the oral arguments presented on June 17th, 2024, the Court enters this Opinion and Order:

Appointment of Guardian

The courts have the inherent duty to protect the rights of potentially incompetent parties and the authority to raise the issue of competency sua sponte. *Berry v. Berry*, 197 A.3d 788, 795 (Pa. Super. 2018). Pa. R.C.P. 2056 requires the appointment of a guardian if the court finds that a plaintiff is an incapacitated person during the pendency of an action. Under 2056(e), any finding of incapacity shall be based either on evidence presented to the court in which the action is pending or an adjudication of incapacity entered by a court of competent jurisdiction.

In their first Preliminary Objection, Defendants argue that the Court should appoint a guardian (or guardian ad /item) for Plaintiff based on averments of a lack of capacity made in the original Complaint filed in this matter. Defendants acknowledge in ¶12 of their Preliminary Objections that the averment referencing capacity appears only in the original Complaint and was removed from the Amended Complaint, but they contend that the original Complaint was verified and raises substantial questions as to Plaintiff's capacity. Though the Plaintiff's mental capacity may be at issue in this case, for procedural purposes, "when an amended complaint is filed it withdraws the original complaint and takes the place of the original pleading." *Vetenshtein ex rel. Vetenshtein v. City of Philadelphia*, 755 A.2d 62, 67 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2000). Therefore, a request for the appointment of a guardian framed as a Preliminary Objection to Amended Complaint under Pa. R.C.P. 1028(a)(5) (for lack of capacity to sue) is procedurally improper when it is based only on averments in the original pleading. {1} The Court is cognizant that Plaintiff's advanced age brings a sense of urgency to the resolution of this action and can preserve and appropriately address the issue of competency outside the context of preliminary objections to avoid further delay.

{1} Under Pa. R.C.P. 1028(c)(l) preliminary objections to an original pleading are rendered moot upon the filing of an amended pleading.

Even if the Court considered the averments as pled in the original Complaint, the impairment described does not rise to the level that would require the appointment of a guardian. {2} The courts have consistently distinguished between the lesser degree of proof required to establish a weakened intellect in an undue influence case and the greater degree of proof required to prove a lack of testamentary capacity. In *re Ziel's Estate*, 467 Pa. 531, 542 (Pa. 1976). Thus, it is possible for a Plaintiff to set forth factual averments sufficient to support a claim of undue influence that do not rise to the level of incapacity as defined in Pa. R.C.P. 2051. That is the case here.

Count I as an Action in Quiet Title

Defendants' second Preliminary Objection moves to strike Plaintiff's request for a jury trial on Count I of the Amended Complaint. Defendants argue that Count I is essentially a quiet title action, for which there is no right to a jury trial, and that the reason a deed is alleged to be invalid is inconsequential. Defendants are correct that the Pennsylvania Supreme Court held in *Siskos v. Britz*, 567 Pa. 689, n.4 (Pa. 2002) that an action in ejectment entitles a litigant to a jury trial but an action to quiet title does not. However, the cause of action Plaintiff raises here is neither an action to quiet title nor an action in ejectment. {3}

The current Rules of Civil Procedure for the action to quiet title and the action in ejectment derive from a 1946 effort to consolidate form and procedure for several separate statutory actions relating to property rights. *Sutton v. Miller*, 592 A.2d 83, 86 (Pa. 1991). Under this framework, both the action to quiet title and the action in ejectment are actions at law and do not completely displace general equity jurisdiction. *Id.* "Equitable relief is available under Rule 1061 only as specifically enumerated therein." *MacKubbin v. Rosedale Memorial Park, Inc.*, 412 Pa. 637, 640 (Pa. 1964). An action to quiet title under Rule 1061 is distinct from a complaint in equity, which can also be used to quiet title, particularly when part of the relief requested is injunctive relief, which is not available under Rule 1061. *Id.* at 639. There is precedent for the use of an action in equity to set aside a deed on grounds that it was procured by fraud or undue influence, including the similar factual circumstances alleged in *Thomas v. Seaman*, 451 Pa. 347 (Pa. 1973), in which the Pennsylvania Supreme Court addresses the shifting burden of proof in such cases.

{2} The averment in the Complaint was that Plaintiff "was weaker in body and mind, easily influenced by her children, especially her son, Roy W. Brashear, whom she had relied upon, and not possessed of sufficient knowledge and capacity to comprehend the true meaning of the deed and other instruments or the effect of her act." Given the other averments in the Complaint that Defendants took Plaintiff to an attorney's office with no explanation or warning to sign documents that had been prepared for her signature without her involvement, the reference to "sufficient knowledge and capacity" could reasonably be construed as Plaintiff lacking the preparation, knowledge, or legal counsel that would allow her to understand what she was being asked to sign.

{3} Of those two causes of actions, the facts at issue here would more closely align with an action in ejectment, for which a jury trial is permitted under *Siskos*, than with an action for quiet title. An action to quiet title under 1061(b)(2) is only proper where an action of ejectment will not lie. That is not the case here. Defendant, Roy W. Brashear is currently in possession (having excluded Plaintiff from the property) and Plaintiff is out of possession but claiming the right to immediate possession. (In general, "actual possession" means dominion over the property, it is not the equivalent of occupancy. Standard Pa. Practice 2d §120:143.)

The same analysis is also relevant to Defendants' third Preliminary Objection, which seeks to dismiss Defendant, Tina Conway, from Count I on the theory that Count I is an action to quiet title and she currently claims no ownership interest. Generally, an action to invalidate a deed based on undue influence may be brought against the grantee and any other person who culpably participated in or benefitted from the conveyance. Cause of Action to Invalidate or Set Aside Deed Based on Undue Influence, 28 Causes of Action 291.

Aiding and Abetting a Fraud

Defendants raise two Preliminary Objections (both numbered as "Count IV") in a demurrer seeking the dismissal of Count III of the Amended Complaint against Tina Conway and Count IV of the Amended Complaint against Kim Brashear, arguing that Plaintiff has not averred a prima facie case for fraud against Roy Brashear, and as such, there is no fraud for Tina Conway or Kim Brashear to aid and abet. The Pennsylvania Supreme Court recently adopted a common law cause of action (from the Restatement (Second) of Torts §876) for aiding and abetting fraud as a matter of first impression in *Marion v. Bryn Mawr Trust Company*, 288 A.3d 76 (Pa. 2023). Under §876 of the Restatement, clause (b), one is subject to liability for harm resulting to a third person from the tortious conduct of another if she knows that the other's conduct constitutes a breach of duty and gives substantial assistance or encouragement to the other so to conduct himself. The courts have also recognized a cause of action for aiding and abetting a breach of fiduciary duty, which is distinct from but analogous to a claim of aiding and abetting fraud. *Id.* at 85.

"A demurrer is a preliminary objection that the pleadings fail to set forth a cause of action upon which relief can be granted under any theory of law." Sutton, at 87. In the Amended Complaint, the Plaintiff has set forth detailed factual averments of the circumstances surrounding the deeds at issue and transactions from a joint bank account. As the cause of action for aiding and abetting does not require the Defendants to have "understood the full legal significance of the facts, or all of the details of primary wrongdoing, so long as they were aware of facts that made the primary conduct wrongful," (*Marion*, at 90) determining whether the underlying behavior ultimately falls within the scope of fraud vs. breach of fiduciary duty is not necessary at this stage in the proceedings. At this stage, Plaintiff need only properly plead that Defendants "rendered substantial assistance to another to accomplish a tortious act." *Koken v. Steinberg*, 825 A.2d 723, 733 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2003), and the allegations in the Amended Complaint are sufficient to meet that requirement.

More Specific Pleading

Defendants' final Preliminary Objection seeks a more specific pleading, particularly as to claims of fraud and misrepresentation of material facts. Plaintiffs previously sought pre-complaint discovery, which this Court denied via a ruling on a Motion for Protective Order based on the short arguments presented by the parties through Motions Court. Now, having had the opportunity to review the allegations in the Amended Complaint it is clear that much of the information needed to further amend the pleading with more specificity would be outside Plaintiff's knowledge and control. The Court already indicated to the parties at the close of oral arguments on these Preliminary Objections

that depositions may proceed. As the Amended Complaint is sufficient to put Defendants on notice of the nature of Plaintiff's case and the relief being sought and given the inherent time constraints on this matter due to Plaintiff's advanced age, the most expeditious and efficient way to proceed is to begin discovery and address any remaining legal issues through a motion for summary judgment, if appropriate.

WHEREFORE, the Court enters the following Order:

ORDER

AND NOW, this 21st day of June, 2024, upon the consideration of Defendant's Preliminary Objections to Amended Complaint, the Answer to Preliminary Objections, the briefs submitted by the parties, and the oral arguments presented on June 17th, 2024, the Court hereby ORDERS and DIRECTS as follows:

Defendants' Preliminary Objections are OVERRULED. Defendants shall file a responsive pleading within twenty (20) days of the date of this Order.

BY THE COURT:
STEVE. P. LESKINEN,
PRESIDENT JUDGE

ATTEST:
PROTHONOTARY

Professional Office Space for Lease

Newly remodeled professional office space for lease in Uniontown, PA. 750 sq ft of office space with 400 sq ft common area. Only 3 blocks from the courthouse, perfect for an attorney. Would also be ideal for an accountant, real estate agent, mortgage broker, insurance agent, etc. Street parking in front and parking lot in back. All utilities are included except electric. Equipped with Cat 5 Ethernet cable. \$950/mo plus security deposit. Long term lease available with option to expand to more office space in the future.

Contact: Benjamin Haines

724-984-5204

benhaines@zoominternet.net

Location: 11 West Church Street, Uniontown, PA 15425



KENTUCK KNOB SUMMER EVENING INVITATION

Please join your colleagues of the Fayette County Bar Association for a
Summer Evening at Frank Lloyd Wright's Kentucky Knob

Thursday, August 22nd

Private House Tour

4:00 p.m.

No Charge for FCBA Members

\$25 Tour Fee for Guests

Check-In at the Visitor Center at 3:45 p.m.

Cocktails & Heavy Hors d'oeuvres on the Patio

Stone House Catering

5:00-7:00 p.m.

No Charge for FCBA Members & Guests

Entertainment by

Chuck Cantalamessa

RSVP required by Thursday August 8th

by reply email to cindy@fcbar.org or 724-437-7994



*Quality... Experience... Results...
It's what your clients deserve.*

Medical Malpractice • Auto Accidents • Personal Injury



GISMONDI

& ASSOCIATES

412-281-2200

www.gislaw.com

700 Grant Bldg., 310 Grant St., Pgh., PA 15219