# MEMORIAL RESOLUTION IN HONOR OF THE HONORABLE LOWELL A. REED, JR.

The Judges of the United States District Court the Eastern District of Pennsylvania invite attorneys to attend a Special Session of the Court for a Memorial Service for the Honorable Lowell A Reed, Jr. on June 3, 2022 at 4:00 p.m. in Courtroom 11-A in the James Byrne Courthouse at 601 Market Street, Philadelphia. A reception will follow the Special Session.

Judge Reed served as a judge in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania from 1988 until his death in April, 2020. He became a senior judge in 1999. Judge Reed served in the United States Navy as a Lieutenant Commander of Naval Intelligence before he graduated from the Temple University Beasley School of Law in 1958. Judge Reed was in private law practice and was elected to serve on the Abington School District Board of School Directors. He also served as an elder of the Abington Presbyterian Church. Judge Reed was also an educator, teaching at Temple University and serving on the faculty advisory board of The Academy of Advocacy at Temple University, from 1989 to 2020.

Judge Reed was instrumental in founding the Temple American Inn of Court. The Temple American Inn of Court is the first Inn chartered in Pennsylvania and was named, in part, after the Middle Temple Inn of London. In 1990, Temple University Law School Dean Robert Reinstein approached Judge Reed, Ed Edelstein and others in the hope of forming an Inn modeled after the English training grounds for young barristers. These discussions led to the founding of the Temple American Inn of Court. Judge Reed served as the first President of the Temple American Inn of Court. In its over 30 years of existence, the Inn has made a distinguished contribution to the American Inns of Court movement.

#### COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF LANCASTER COUNTY

#### CRIMINAL

#### Commonwealth v. Ivie

Abuse of discretion. Evidence offered by the Commonwealth that strengthens existing evidence is not cumulative, but corroborative, and not prohibited by the rules of evidence. Therefore, a court does not abuse its discretion when admitting corroborative evidence. Opinion. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania v. Mark Ivie, Jr. No. 3018-

Opinion. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania v. Mark Ivie, Jr. No. 3018-2020.

#### **PA.R.A.P. § 1925(A) OPINION**

OPINION BY SPONAUGLE, J., April 25, 2022. In the matter before the Superior Court of Pennsylvania, Appellant Mark Ivie, Jr. appeals the Sentencing Order of December 22, 2021. Appellant filed Notice of Appeal on February 22, 2022, and his Concise Statement Complained on Appeal on March 24, 2022. Thorough review of the record and applicable law demonstrates that the Appellant's claims lack merit and for the reasons stated herein, the appeal should be denied.

#### I. RELEVANT PROCEDURAL & FACTUAL HISTORY

On October 27, 2021, the jury returned a guilty verdict on the following charges: <u>Counts I – V</u>: Criminal Attempt – Voluntary Manslaughter – Provocation From Individual Killed; <u>Counts VII – XI</u>: Aggravated Assault – Attempt to Cause Serious Bodily Injury or Causes Injury with Extreme Indifference; <u>Counts XIII – XIV</u>: Recklessly Endangering Another Person ("REAP"); and <u>Counts XV – XVI</u>: Discharge of a Firearm into Occupied Structure. Guilty Order, 10/27/2021. Appellant was found not guilty of <u>Count VI</u>: Criminal Attempt – Homicide; and <u>Count XII</u>: Aggravated Assault. *Id*.

In the early morning hours of July 5, 2020, Appellant opened fire into a group of six young men with a short-barrel AR-15 rifle. Notes of Testimony ("NT") at 55. Earlier that evening, the victims were at a cookout, and one victim, Mr. Randy Brandt, uploaded a video of himself rapping onto the social media platform Snapchat. NT at 56. Appellant was able to see the video Mr. Brandt posted, and he was not a fan, so much so that Appellant left comments with his negative opinions on Mr. Brandt's video. NT at 58. Appellant and Mr. Brandt then exchanged angry messages back and forth, followed by a screaming telephone call in which Appellant invited Mr. Brandt to his home at 6 Blackberry Lane in Ephrata Township for a fist fight. NT at 58. Despite the hour, around 1:30 a.m., Mr. Brandt agreed and brought the other five victims with him to Blackberry Lane. NT at 59, 68. While waiting for the victims' arrival, Appellant asked his father to bring him a gun. NT at 59. Appellant asserted that having the gun would ensure a fair fight, and he waited

for the victims with his father on the front porch, brandishing a loaded AR-15 short-barreled rifle. NT at 59.

Mr. Brandt arrived with Devon Schaefer, Jeremy Ross-Gates, Billy Joe Varner, Josue Colon, and Joshua Norwood, and the first physical fight broke out between Appellant and Mr. Schaefer, while Appellant's father beat Mr. Ross-Gates. NT at 59-61. When Appellant was able to break free, he then grabbed the rifle, disengaged the safety mechanism, and fired into the group of men fourteen times. NT at 61. Mr. Varner was shot in the left side of his chest, Mr. Colon was shot in the face and the abdomen, Mr. Schaefer was shot through the arm, Mr. Brandt was shot in the earlobe, a bullet grazed Mr. Norwood's arm, and Mr. Ross-Gates was severely beaten by the Appellant's father. NT at 61-62. Police later found several rounds of ammunition had hit neighboring homes, one of which was occupied by two people, and also that the residents of 3 Blackberry Lane had a security camera which had recorded the entire incident. NT at 62. Following Appellant's admission to police that he was the person responsible for shooting the firearm, he was arrested and charged with six counts of attempted homicide, six counts of aggravated assault, two counts of discharging a weapon into an occupied structure, and two counts of recklessly endangering another person ("REAP"). Criminal Complaint, 7/29/2020, at 6; NT at 62.

On August 11, 2021, Appellant filed a pretrial Motion *in Limine* to preclude the Commonwealth from introducing video evidence of a firearm demonstration from being admitted as substantive evidence at trial. Motion *in Limine*, 8/11/2021, at 1. After briefings from both parties, Judge Margaret Miller denied the motion, and the video was admitted at trial. Order 8/16/2021; NT at 417. On October 27, 2021, Appellant was convicted of five counts of attempted voluntary manslaughter<sup>1</sup>, five counts of aggravated assault, two counts of discharging a firearm into an occupied structure, and two counts of REAP. Guilty Order, 10/27/2021.

On December 22, 2021, the court imposed an aggregate sentence of 24 to 50 years' incarceration. Sentencing Order, 12/22/2021. On December 31, 2021, Appellant filed a timely post-sentence motion, arguing that the court abused its discretion in sentencing because the sentence is manifestly excessive, it is not consistent with the Commonwealth's interest in protecting the public, it is not necessary to address "the nature and circumstances of the crime" considering Appellant's lack of prior record, it fails to consider mitigating factors, and Appellant asserted that the sentences for aggravated assault should not be consecutive due to Appellant's lack of opportunity for "cool reflection." Post Sentence Motion, 12/31/2021, at 2-3. This court denied Appellant's post sentence motion on January 21, 2022. Order, 1/21/2022. This opinion is written pursuant to Rule 1925(a) of the Pennsylvania Rules of Appellate Procedure.

#### II. DISCUSSION

The jury acquitted Appellant of the original six counts of attempted homicide, and found him guilty of the lesser included attempted voluntary manslaughter. See Guilty Order, 10/27/2021.

# a. Denial of Motion in Limine

"[A] motion in limine is a procedure for obtaining a ruling on the admissibility of evidence prior to trial..." Commonwealth v. Rosen, 615 Pa. 305, 42 A.3d 988, 994 (2012). "The admission of evidence is committed to the sound discretion of the trial court, and our review is for an abuse of discretion." Id. "An abuse of discretion will not be found based on a mere error of judgment, but rather occurs where the court has reached a conclusion that overrides or misapplies the law, or where the judgment exercised is manifestly unreasonable, or the result of partiality, prejudice, bias or ill-will." Commonwealth v. Harrington, 262 A.3d 639, 644 (Pa. Super. 2021) (quoting Commonwealth v. Woodard, 634 Pa. 162, 129 A.3d 480, 494 (2015)). "An abuse of discretion by the trial court, and a showing of resulting prejudice, constitutes reversible error." Commonwealth v. Harrington, 262 A.3d 639, 644 (Pa. Super. 2021) (quoting Commonwealth v. Glass, 50 A.3d 720, 724-25 (Pa. Super. 2012)).

"[C]umulative evidence is 'additional evidence of the same character as existing evidence and that supports a fact established by the existing evidence." Commonwealth v. G.D.M., Sr., 926 A.2d 984, 989, (Pa. Super. 2007) (quoting Black's Law Dictionary, Seventh Edition, at 577). "The court may exclude relevant evidence if its probative value is outweighed by a danger of one or more of the following: unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, misleading the jury, undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence." Commonwealth v. Hicks, 91 A.3d 47, 50, 625 Pa. 90 (2014) (citing Pa.R.E. 403).

Prior to trial, Appellant filed a pretrial Motion *in Limine* to preclude the Commonwealth from introducing evidence of Detective Lockhart's filmed firearm demonstration from being admitted as substantive evidence at trial. Motion *in Limine*, 8/11/2021, at 1. Appellant argued that the commentary offered by Detective Lockhart on video constituted impermissible hearsay and that there was no reason the detective could not provide in-court testimony to determine operability, if deemed relevant. Motion *in Limine*, at 2-3. Appellant also stated that the video's limited relevance was outweighed by the danger of undue prejudice because the operability of a firearm is not an element of any of the offenses charged, there was no factual dispute as to the type of firearm used, and that most of the incident was captured on surveillance footage, making any video demonstrating such duplicative and unnecessary. *Id.* 

In response, the Commonwealth asserted that the contested evidence was relevant to demonstrate that the firearm used in the commission of the charged offenses was operational and worked as expected, to show the nature and characteristics of the firearm, and to demonstrate how loud the firearm is, which was not available on the surveillance footage. Commonwealth's Response to Defendant's Motion *in Limine*, 8/12/2021, at 1-2. The trial court agreed and denied Appellant's Motion *in Limine* on August 16, 2021. Order, 8/16/2021.

Here, the video evidence of Detective Lockhart demonstrating the firearm's capabilities is not cumulative evidence. It is true that the terrible incident at hand was captured on surveillance video and played at trial. However, the surveillance video alone does not give a full picture of Appellant's actions, only the few chaotic moments it took him to complete the shooting. The recording of Detective Lockhart showed the jury the firearm in question, it allowed the jury to hear how loud the firearm was when fired, it showed its nature and characteristics as a semi-automatic weapon, meaning the video demonstrated that in order for fourteen bullets to be fired, Appellant had to pull the trigger fourteen separate times, and that it was not a firearm that was capable of firing multiple bullets with one pull of the trigger. This information alone gave the jury additional insight into Appellant's state of mind, that after pulling the trigger the first time, Appellant had opportunity for reconsideration thirteen additional times. Also, Detective Lockhart was present at trial as a witness which gave the defense the opportunity to cross-examine him during and after the video was played.

"Evidence that bolsters, or strengthens, existing evidence is not cumulative evidence, but rather is corroborative evidence." *G.D.M.*, *Sr.*, 926 A.2d at 989. The video of Detective Lockhart did not simply show duplicative evidence, it provided new and additional facts for the jury to consider, thus removing the opportunity for it to become cumulative evidence. The video supplemented the surveillance video by strengthening the existing evidence, therefore, the video evidence was not merely cumulative.

Appellant further claims that the video evidence should have been excluded because its potential to prejudice the Appellant outweighed its probative value. "The court may exclude relevant evidence if its probative value is outweighed by a danger of one or more of the following: unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, misleading the jury, undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence." *Hicks*, 91 A.3d at 50 (citing Pa.R.E. 403). "Rule 403 requires a trial court to weigh probative value and prejudice—the costs and benefits of relevant evidence—viewing it as part of a whole and not in isolation." *Id.* at 53.

Here, it is clear that the probative value of admitting the evidence greatly outweighed any prejudicial effect on the Appellant. As the Appellant states in his Motion *in Limine*, the surveillance video already showed Appellant shooting the gun into a group of six young men, but Detective Lockhart's video was able to clearly show the jury how he was able to do so. "As the comment to Rule 403 instructs, '[u]nfair prejudice' means a tendency to suggest decision on an improper basis or to divert the jury's attention away from its duty of weighing the evidence impartially." Pa.R.E. 403 cmt. The video evidence, introduced for legitimate purposes, was not so prejudicial that it likely diverted the jury's intention away from its duty of weighing the evidence impartially, and thus does not violate Pa.R.E. 403.

When the totality of the circumstances are viewed in the light most favorable to the Commonwealth, the trial court did not abuse its discretion in denying Appellant's motion or admitting the evidence at trial. The decision to deny the motion and admit the video as evidence did not misapply existing law, was not unreasonable, nor did it unfairly prejudice Appellant, and as such Appellant's claim must be denied.

# b. Insufficient Evidence to Prove REAP Beyond a Reasonable Doubt

Appellant argues that the evidence presented was insufficient to establish the crime of REAP, this court disagrees. "A person commits a misdemeanor of the second degree if he recklessly engages in conduct which places or may place another person in danger of death or serious bodily injury." 18 Pa.C.S.A. § 2705. To sustain a conviction for REAP, "the Commonwealth must prove that the defendant had an actual present ability to inflict harm and not merely the apparent ability to do so. Danger, not merely the apprehension of danger, must be created." Commonwealth v. Headley, 242 A.3d 940, 944, (Pa. Super. 2020) (quoting Commonwealth v. Hopkins, 747 A.2d 910, 915 (Pa. Super. 2000)). "The mere act of discharging a firearm, by itself, does not constitute REAP. However, discharging a firearm near another person or in a manner where the projectile could have struck a person is sufficient to prove REAP." Commonwealth v. Headley, 242 A.3d 940, 944, (Pa. Super. 2020) (citations omitted).

"A claim challenging the sufficiency of the evidence is a question of law. Evidence will be deemed sufficient to support the verdict when it establishes each material element of the crime charged and the commission thereof by the accused, beyond a reasonable doubt." Commonwealth v. Rivera, 238 A.3d 482, 495 (Pa. Super. 2020) (quoting Commonwealth v. Widmer, 744 A.2d 745, 751-52, 560 Pa. 308 (2000)). "When reviewing a sufficiency claim the court is required to view the evidence in the light most favorable to the verdict winner giving the prosecution the benefit of all reasonable inferences to be drawn from the evidence." Id.

Nevertheless, the Commonwealth need not establish guilt to a mathematical certainty. [T]he facts and circumstances established by the Commonwealth need not be absolutely incompatible with the defendant's innocence. Any doubt about the defendant's guilt is to be resolved by the fact finder unless the evidence is so weak and inconclusive that, as a matter of law, no probability of fact can be drawn from the combined circumstances.

Commonwealth v. Lynch, 242 A.3d 339, 352 (Pa. Super. 2020) (citations omitted). Moreover, "[t]he trier of fact bears the responsibility of assessing the credibility of the witnesses and weighing the evidence presented. In doing so, the trier of fact is free to believe all, part, or none of the evidence." Commonwealth v. Hopkins, 67 A.3d 817, 820 (Pa. Super. 2013).

As established above, Appellant instigated the online confrontation with Mr. Brandt, invited him to his home for a physical fight, and in-

discriminately fired a semiautomatic weapon into a group of six young men in a residential community in the middle of the night. The evidence established that while firing fourteen separate times, Appellant's shots struck two separate residences. This is first shown in the surveillance video. Moreover, and more importantly, the following stipulation was entered as evidence:

**Commonwealth**: At this time I have a stipula-

tion, Your Honor. I have had it marked as Commonwealth's Exhibit Number 14. It's a two-page document. It bears my signature and the signature of defense counsel and the signature of the defendant. I wish to read it into the record at

this time.

**The Court:** Do you agree?

**Defense Counsel**: That's correct Your Honor.

**The Court:** All right. You may.

**Commonwealth**: It's entitled, stipulation regarding bullet strikes.

the above captioned matter hereby stipulate as follows:

**AND NOW**, this 26th day of October 2021, the parties in

One, on the night of July 4, 2020 through July 5, 2020, the residence at 6 Eastbrooke Drive was occupied by two individuals. These two individuals were asleep inside the residence at the time the gunfire occurred on the early morning hours of July 5, 2020. If these individuals were called to testify they would testify that the bullet strikes observed on their residence were not present prior to the discharge of gunfire on the early morning hours of July 5, 2020.

Two, on the night of July 4, 2020, through July 5, 2020, the residence at 7 Eastbrooke Drive was unoccupied. If the owner of 7 Eastbrooke Drive was called to testify, they would testify that the bullet strikes observed on the residence and the picket fence surrounding their backyard were not present prior to the discharge of gunfire on the early morning hours of July 5, 2020.

**Commonwealth**: At this time I would move for

the admission of

Commonwealth's Exhibit 14

into evidence.

**The Court:** Mr. Podraza, do you so stipu

late?

**Defense Counsel:** That's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OBJECT-

ION TO ADMISSION?

**DEFENSE COUNSEL**: NO, YOUR HONOR.

NT at 393-394. The stipulated facts establish the material element of REAP, that Appellant placed two sleeping people in danger of death or serious bodily injury. Appellant was not merely present and able to shoot a firearm into the residences at 6 Eastbrooke Drive and 7 Eastbrooke Drive, but did so without thought or regard for who may be inside. The surveillance video showed Appellant shooting his gun into the group of victims, and then the stipulated facts remove doubt regarding who shot the weapon and when.

Firing into the occupied house at 6 Eastbrooke Drive put both sleeping residents in actual danger of serious bodily injury pursuant to 18 Pa.C.S.A. § 2705. Appellant disregarded all risk when he discharged his weapon. He could have not only ended the lives of the six men he was trying to shoot, but he endangered all of the lives in the immediate area, more so true for the residents at 6 Eastbrook Drive. The jury agreed and made the reasonable inference that the bullet holes in the homes, which were not present before the night in question, were from the gun Appellant had shot fourteen times that same night. Appellant's claim that there was insufficient evidence to support conviction of REAP is without merit and must be denied.

### C. Abuse of Discretion in Sentencing

Appellant claims the court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence is without merit. A defendant's right to appeal the discretionary aspects of his sentence is not absolute, and before such a challenge will be heard two requirements must be met. *Commonwealth v. Fiascki*, 886 A.2d 261, 263 (Pa. Super. 2005). First, an appellant must set forth a concise statement of the reasons relied upon for allowance of appeal with regard to discretionary aspects of a sentence. *Id.*; Pa.R.A.P. 2119(f).

Second, an appellant must show there is "a substantial question that the sentence imposed is not appropriate under the Sentencing Code." Commonwealth v. Bishop, 831 A.2d 656, 660 (Pa. Super. 2003); 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 9781(b). To establish a substantial question, an appellant must show that the actions taken by the sentencing court are inconsistent with the sentencing code or contrary to the fundamental norms that underlie the sentencing process. Fiascki, 886 A.2d at 263. A bald allegation of excessiveness is not sufficient to establish a substantial question. Commonwealth v. Mouzon, 812 A.2d 617, 623 (Pa. 2002). Moreover, a trial court's exercise of discretion in imposing consecutive sentences is generally not viewed as raising a substantial question. Commonwealth v. Mastromarino, 2 A.3d 581, 586 (Pa. Super. 2010).

Here, assuming, arguendo, Appellant has raised a substantial ques-

tion, Appellant is not entitled to any relief. The court must consider a defendant's age, character, personal characteristics, prior criminal record, circumstances of the offense, and potential for rehabilitation in determining an appropriate sentence. *Commonwealth v. Clemat*, 218 A.3d 944, 959 (Pa. Super. 2019). The goal of the sentencing code is to ensure that the sentence imposed should call for confinement "consistent with protection of the public, the gravity of the offense as it relates to impact on the life of the victim and community, and rehabilitative needs of the defendant." *Mouzon*, 812 A.2d at 620 (quoting 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 9721(b)).

The general standard of review when considering a challenge to the discretionary aspects of a court's sentence has been established by the Superior Court as follows:

Sentencing is a matter vested in the sound discretion of the sentencing judge, and a sentence will not be disturbed on appeal absent a manifest abuse of discretion. In this context, an abuse of discretion is not shown merely by an error in judgment. Rather, the appellant must establish, by reference to the record, that the sentencing court ignored or misapplied the law, exercised its judgment for reasons of partiality, prejudice, bias or ill will, or arrived at a manifestly unreasonable decision.

Commonwealth v. Griffin, 65 A.3d 932, 937 (Pa. Super. 2013). In discussing the rationale behind such broad discretion to the sentencing court and the deferential standard of appellate review, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has stated:

The sentencing court is in the best position to determine the proper penalty for a particular offense based upon an evaluation of the individual circumstances before it. . . . Simply stated, the sentencing court sentences flesh-and-blood defendants and the nuances of sentencing decisions are difficult to gauge from the cold transcript used upon appellate review. Moreover, the sentencing court enjoys an institutional advantage to appellate review, bringing to its decisions an expertise, experience, and judgment that should not be lightly disturbed. Even with the advent of the sentencing guidelines, the power of sentencing is a function to be performed by the sentencing court.

Commonwealth v. Walls, 926 A.2d 957, 961-62 (Pa. 2007); see also Commonwealth v. Jones, 613 A.2d 587, 591 (Pa. Super. 1992) (sentencing court is in better position to view a defendant's character, display of remorse, defiance or indifference, and effect and nature of the crime)

The appellate court should affirm the trial court's sentence unless it finds that the guidelines were erroneously applied, a guideline sentence is "clearly unreasonable," or a sentence outside the guidelines is "unreasonable." *Fiascki*, 886 A.2d at 263; 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 9781(c). To determine

if a sentence is unreasonable, Appellate courts must consider the circumstances of the offense, background and character of the defendant, opportunity of the trial court to observe the defendant, the trial court's review of a presentence investigation, findings upon which the sentence was based, and sentencing guidelines. *Commonwealth v. Moore*, 617 A.2d 8, 12 (Pa. Super. 1992); 42 Pa.C.S.A. § 9781(d).

The sentencing court also has the discretion to impose its sentences concurrent or consecutive to other sentences being imposed at the same time. *Commonwealth v. Johnson-Daniels*, 167 A.3d 17, 28 (Pa. Super. 2017). Further, if the sentencing court takes into consideration information contained within a pre-sentence investigation report, the Superior Court has noted:

[s]ince the sentencing court had and considered a presentence report, this fact alone was adequate to support the sentence, and due to the court's explicit reliance on that report, we are required to presume that the court properly weighed the mitigating factors present in the case. . . where the sentencing judge had the benefit of a presentence investigation report, it will be presumed that he or she was aware of the relevant information regarding the defendant's character and weighed those considerations along with mitigating statutory factors.

Commonwealth v. Fowler, 893 A.2d 758, 766-67 (Pa. Super. 2006)) (internal citation omitted).

In the present case, the court relied on all information contained in the Pre-Sentencing Investigation ("PSI") report before imposing sentence, including Appellant's character, family history, and rehabilitative needs. Notes of Testimony, Sentencing ("NTS") at 40-51. Thus, pursuant to *Fowler*, *supra*, there is a presumption that the court was aware of all relevant information regarding Appellant's character and weighed those considerations before imposing sentence. The court considered the arguments of counsel and comments of Appellant, the victims, and police, the penalties authorized by the Pennsylvania legislature, as well the Pennsylvania sentencing guidelines.

Specifically, the court considered Appellant's family history and history of substance abuse. Appellant reported he began smoking marijuana at age 14 and continued until his recent incarceration, first consumed alcohol at age 13, drank every day at age 18 until his current incarceration, and experienced multiple blackouts. PSI at 9. A drug and alcohol evaluation was performed on November 12, 2021, which states that Appellant accepts he may have a substance abuse disorder. NTS at 22-23. The sentencing court asserted that Appellant is a very high risk of relapsing into alcohol and drug abuse and into anti-social and/or criminal behaviors after he is released from jail. NTS at 23. As such, Appellant was recommended to attend any available drug and/or alcohol counseling programs while incarcerated. NTS at 23.

The court considered Appellant's mental health history, where Appel-

lant reported that he has never been officially diagnosed with any mental health diagnosis but believes he has depression and anxiety. NTS at 23. A psychiatric evaluation conducted on November 29, 2021, states that Appellant started psychiatric medication for the first time while in prison and he has remained on the medications; at sentencing he was taking Seroquel, Zoloft, and Visterol. NTS at 23. However, it was noted that Appellant's ability to continue psychiatric medication and remain in mental health counseling in the community setting is unclear, and while his substance abuse needs are of primary concern, a lack of mental health treatment may lead to his relapse. NTS at 23-24.

Appellant's age was considered, and he was 20 years of age when the offenses occurred, an age of sufficient maturity to understand the significance of his acts. NTS at 24. His character and history as disclosed in the PSI, along with the opportunity of the sentencing court to observe Appellant at various court proceedings, was considered by the sentencing court. NTS 24. Appellant's level of education was also reviewed, noting he graduated from high school in 2019, and denies ever being diagnosed with any learning disabilities that would prevent him from understanding the difference between right and wrong. NTS at 24.

While the court noted that Appellant did not have any prior criminal record, great weight was given to the nature and circumstances of the crimes, as well as the gravity of the crimes as they relate to impact on the life of the victims and on the community. NTS at 25. Appellant initiated this confrontation by posting negative comments about another person on Snapchat, invited that person to come to his house to fight, sent the victim his address, recruited his father to get an assault rifle so they could confront the victims, went outside and waited for an extended amount of time for the victims to arrive, initiated a fist-fight with one of the victims, grabbed an assault rifle from his father, turned the safety off, and fired indiscriminately at the victims as they attempted to flee. NTS at 25-26.

The Court also considered the facts that none of the victims were armed, Appellant made no attempt to call the police, even though he had plenty of time to do so, and he made no attempt to retreat back into his home, even though he had an opportunity to do so. NTS at 26. Appellant also did not fire warning shots, rather, he pointed the assault rifle directly at the victims and shot 14 rounds, moving around to get the best angle. NTS at 26-27. Appellant also struck two homes with bullets, and one of the homes was occupied by two sleeping people. NTS at 27. Significantly, the court noted Appellant's lack of accepting responsibility for his actions because Appellant initially admitted he acted on impulse and knew he should not have shot the victims, but at trial, Appellant stated he fired the gun in self-defense, which jury did not find credible. NTS at 27-28.

Finally, the court considered the rehabilitative needs of Appellant and confinement that is consistent with protection of the public. NTS at 28. For all of these reasons, the court found that a sentence of total confinement was necessary, because Appellant is an extreme danger

to society and society needs to be protected. NTS at 28. Therefore, the court imposed standard range guideline sentences on each count, with consecutive sentences for each of the five victims. The court noted that consecutive sentences were warranted based on the facts of this case, and Appellant should not be given a volume discount for shooting so many people at one time. Appellant's claim that his sentence is manifestly excessive is without merit.

#### III. CONCLUSION

Based on a thorough review of the record and corresponding law, the errors Appellant complains of on appeal are without merit. Accordingly, the appeal should be denied.

BY THE COURT:

THOMAS B. SPONAUGLE, JUDGE

#### **ESTATE AND TRUST NOTICES**

Notice is hereby given that, in the estates of the decedents set forth below, the Register of Wills has granted letters testamentary or of administration to the persons named. Notice is also hereby given of the existence of the trusts of the deceased settlors set forth below for whom no personal representatives have been appointed within 90 days of death. All persons having claims or demands against said estates or trusts are requested to make known the same, and all persons indebted to said estates or trusts are requested to make payment, without delay, to the executors or administrators or trustees or to their attorneys named below.

#### FIRST PUBLICATION

Achenbach, Shirley Mease,  $\operatorname{dec'd}$ .

The Shirley Mease Achenbach Charitable Remainder Unitrust of November 13, 1996.

Late of Ephrata Borough.

Trustee: David M. Mease c/o Gibble Law Offices, P.C., 126 East Main Street, Lititz, PA 17543.

Attorney: Stephen R. Gibble.

#### Arnold, Charlotte S., dec'd.

Late of East Lampeter Township. Executrix: Nancy S. Arnold c/o Appel, Yost & Zee LLP, 33 N. Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: James W. Appel.

#### Balmer, Evelyn D., dec'd.

Late of East Hempfield Township.

Executrix: Bonnie S. McCue c/o John R. Gibbel, P.O. Box 5349,

Lancaster, PA 17606.

Attorneys: Gibbel Kraybill & Hess LLP.

#### Barnhart, Clyde L., dec'd.

Late of the Borough of Elizabethtown.

Administratrix: Victoria L. Barnhart c/o Nikolaus & Hohenadel, LLP, 222 Market Street, Suite 201, Elisabethtown, PA 17022. Attorney: John M. Smith, Esq.

#### Beiler, Elam J., dec'd.

Late of Quarryville.

Administratrix: Emma E. Beiler c/o Legacy Law, PLLC., 147 W. Airport Road, Suite 300, Lititz, PA 17543.

Attorney: Neal A. Rice, Esq.

# Blasi, Daniel J. a/k/a Daniel Jeffrey Blasi, dec'd.

Late of Leacock Twp.

Executrix: Carla P. Gorman c/o Matthew A. Levitsky, Esq., Ten Sentry Pkwy., #200, P.O. Box 3001, Blue Bell, PA 19422.

Attorney: Matthew A. Levitsky, Atty., Fox Rothschild LLP, Ten Sentry Pkwy., #200, P.O. Box 3001, Blue Bell, PA 19422.

### Chastain, David Lee, dec'd.

Late of Elizabethtown Borough. Administrator: Carol Chastain c/o VanOrmer & Stephenson, P.C., 344 South Market Street, Suite 101, Elizabethtown, PA 17022.

Attorney: Daniel A. Stephenson, Esq.

#### Crampton, Patricia E., dec'd.

Late of Drumore Township.

Executrix: Sherrie L. Miller c/o Appel Yost & Zee LLP, 33 North

Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: Jeffrey P. Ouellet, Esq.

#### Drescher, Leon E., dec'd.

Late of Lancaster County.

Administrator: Doris J. Drescher.

Attorney: Anthony J. Fitzgibbons, Esquire, 279 North Zinn's Mill Road, Lebanon, PA 17042.

### Fisher, Nancy A., dec'd.

Late of Manor Twp.

Executors: Abram K. Fisher, Jr., Ann Weary c/o 327 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.

Attorney: John F. Markel, Nikolaus & Hohenadel, LLP, 327 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.

# Garman, Henry Z. a/k/a Henry Zimmerman Garman, dec'd.

Late of Earl Township.

Executor: Erma H. Garman c/o Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP, 131 W. Main Street, New Holland, PA 17557.

Attorney: Linda King, Esq.

# Glatfelter, Sandra, dec'd.

Late of Manor Township.

Executrix: Mary Beth Sacks c/o Vincent Carosella, Jr., Esq., Carosella & Associates, 882 S. Matlack St., Ste. 101, West Chester, PA 19382.

Attorney: Vincent Carosella, Jr., Esq.

# Gola, Ruth a/k/a Ruth Edith, dec'd.

Late of Lancaster.

Executor: Ronald A. McCloud c/o Good & Harris, LLP, 132 West Main Street, New Holland,

PA 17557.

Attorney: Good & Harris, LLP.

# Goss, Albert L. a/k/a Albert Lefever Goss, dec'd.

Late of Martic Township.

Executor: Pamela S. Eshleman c/o Jeffrey C. Goss, Esquire, 480 New Holland Avenue, Suite 6205, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: Brubaker Connaughton Goss & Lucarelli LLC.

#### Groff, Robert C., dec'd.

Late of the Township of Eden.

Executor: Robert C. Groff, III c/o James R. Clark, Esquire, 277 Millwood Road, Lancaster, PA 17603.

Attorney: James R. Clark.

### Henschel, Barbara Lillian, dec'd.

Late of Rapho Township.

Executor: Daniel A. Stephenson, Esq. c/o VanOrmer & Stephenson, P.C. 344, South Market Street, Suite 101, Elizabethtown, PA 17022.

Attorney: Darrell N. VanOrmer, Jr., Esq.

#### Kawalchuk, Edward A., dec'd.

Late of Caernarvon Township.

Executor: Sharon K. Dempsey c/o Good & Harris, LLP, 132 West Main Street, New Holland, PA 17557.

Attorney: Good & Harris, LLP.

#### Koch, Henry G., dec'd.

Late of East Hempfield Town-ship.

Co-Executors: Donald H. Koch, Linda M. Koch c/o Vance E. Antonacci, Esquire, McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC, 570 Lausch Lane, Suite 200, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorney: McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC.

#### Lapp, Wayne E., dec'd.

Late of Strasburg Township.

Executor: Jennifer L. Lapp c/o Aevitas Law, PLLC.

Attorneys: Neil R. Vestermark, Esquire; Aevitas Law, PLLC.

# Moran, Jeffrey L. a/k/a Jeffrey Lee Moran, dec'd.

Late of the Borough of Elizabethtown.

Executrix: Lisa B. Shupe c/o Ni-kolaus & Hohenadel, LLP, 222 S. Market Street, Suite 201, Elizathtown, PA 17022.

Attorney: Kevin D. Dolan, Esq.

#### Newcomer, Jane M., dec'd.

Late of E. Hempfield Twp.

Executrix: Judy N. Birkett c/o Tara H. Zane, Esq, 1025 Laurel Oak Rd., Voorhees, NJ 08043.

Attorney: Tara H. Zane, Atty., Archer & Greiner, P.C., 1025 Laurel Oak Rd., Voorhees, NJ 08043.

#### Nolt, Paul Z., dec'd.

Late of Clay Township.

Co-Executors: Earlene H. Sauder, Carl H. Nolt c/o Nicholas T. Gard, Esquire, 121 E. Main Street, New Holland, PA 17557.

Attorneys: Smoker Gard Associates LLP.

# Perry, Robert D. a/k/a Robert Donald Perry, dec'd.

Late of East Hempfield Township.

Executors: Lynn P. Brenner, 207 Center Street, Kennett Square, PA 19348; Barbara P. Poukish, 212 Greenhedge Drive, Lancaster, PA 17603.

Attorney: Paul O'Brien, Law Office of Kevin O'Brien, 3801 Kennett Pick, C204, Wilmington, DE 19807.

# Potteiger, Marlin E. a/k/a Marlin Earl Potteiger, dec'd.

Late of West Donegal Township. Co-Executrices: Valerie A. Gunn, 22 Paddock Lane, Harrisburg, PA 17011; Thomas S. Potteiger, 5109 Pineview Road, Glen Rock, PA 17327; Michele Cauchon, 52 Nicole Street, Marietta, PA 17547.

Attorney: Theresa L. Shade Wix, Esq., Wix, Wenger & Weidner, 4705 Duke Street, Harrisburg, PA 17109-3041.

#### Redcay, Rose A., dec'd.

Late of Columbia Borough.

Executors: Steven E. Emlet c/o 327 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.

Attorney: John F. Markel, Nikolaus & Hohenadel, LLP, 327 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.

# Richardson, Alexander L. a/k/a Alexander Laidlaw Richardson, dec'd.

Late of the Township of West Lampeter.

Executor: Donald P. Richardson c/o Law Office of James Clark, 277 Millwood Road, Lancaster, PA 17603.

Attorney: James R. Clark.

# Ross, Kathryn, dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.

Executrix: Karen W. McDonie c/o Marci S. Miller, Attorney,

P.O. Box 5349, Lancaster, PA 17606.

Attorneys: Gibbel Kraybill & Hess LLP.

Sensenig, Erwin Sensenig, III a/k/a Erwin S. Sensenig, III a/k/a Erwin Sensenig, III, dec'd.

Late of Terre Hill Borough.

Administrator: Tracey L. Sensenig, 24 Vine St., East Earl, PA 17519.

Attorney: James M. Smith, Esquire, Smith Bukowski, LLC, 1050 Spring Street, Suite 1, Wyomissing, PA 19610.

### Smith, Peggy L., dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.

Executrix: Sherry L. Olmstead c/o Appel Yost & Zee LLP, 33 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: Jeffrey P. Ouellet.

# Stoudt, James L., Sr. a/k/a James L. Stoudt, dec'd.

Late of West Cocalico Township. Administratrix: Heather L. Yerger c/o Gardner and Stevens, P.C., 109 West Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522.

Attorney: John C. Stevens.

# Vogeler, Joy Ann, dec'd.

Late of Ephrata Borough.

Administrator: Ronald Grzybowski c/o Eric Schenlin Rothermel, Esquire, 49 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: May, Herr & Grosh, LLP.

#### Witmer, Ada R., dec'd.

Late of West Lampeter Township.

Executor: Kenneth J. Witmer

c/o Law Office of Gretchen M. Curran, LLC, 1337 Byerland Church Road P.O. Box 465, Willow Street, PA 17584.

Attorney: Gretchen M. Curran.

# Zeiber, Tammy J. a/k/a Tammy Jo Zeiber, dec'd.

Late of the Township of Conoy. Executor: Jeffrey R. Zeiber c/o Nikolaus & Hohenadel, LLP 222 S. Market Street, Suite 201, Elisabethtown, PA 17022.

Attorney: Matthew Bleacher, Esq.

#### SECOND PUBLICATION

### Andrews, John J., dec'd.

Late of Ephrata Twp.

Executrix: Joan J. Hagen c/o Michael C. McBratnie, Esq., P.O. Box 673, Exton, PA 19341. Attorney: Michael C. McBratnie, Atty., Fox Rothschild LLP, P.O. Box 673, Exton, PA 19341.

#### Armer, Beryl T., dec'd.

Late of the Borough of Christiana.

Executrix: Susan L. Armer c/o Kluxen, Newcomer & Dreisbach, Attorneys-at-Law, 2221 Dutch Gold Drive, Dutch Gold Business Center, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorney: Melvin E. Necomer, Esquire.

### Brubaker, Dennis S., dec'd.

Late of Penn Township.

Executor: Karen J. Minnich c/o Young and Young, 44 S. Main Street, P.O. Box 126, Manheim, PA 17545.

Attorney: Young and Young, 44

S. Main Street, P.O. Box 126 Manheim, PA 17545.

### Burger, Suzanne O., dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.

Co-Executors: Robert J. Burger, 25 Carriage Lane Montoursville, PA 17754, Michael D. Burger, 2341 Quaker State Road, Montoursville, PA 17754.

Attorney: McNerney, Page, Vanderlin & Hall, 433 Market Street, Williamsport, PA 17701.

### Canter, David A., dec'd.

Late of Pequea Township.

Executrix: June L. Charles c/o Kluxen, Newcomer & Dreisbach, Attorneys-at-Law, 2221 Dutch Gold Drive, Dutch Gold Business Center, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorney: Melvin E. Newcomer, Esquire.

# Clare, Harold T. a/k/a H.T. Clare a/k/a Harold Clare, dec'd.

Late of East Lampeter Township. Co-Executors: Regina Camplone and Eriberto Rios c/o Brian R. Ott, Esquire, Barley Snyder LLP, 50 N. 5th Street, 2nd Floor, Reading, PA 19603-0942.

Attorneys: Barley Snyder LLP.

# Coldren, Russell C., Jr., dec'd.

Late of Manor Township.

Executor: Russellette C. Savi c/o Young and Young, 44 S. Main Street, P.O. Box 126, Manheim, PA 17545.

Attorney: Young and Young.

#### Coreano, Marilyn, dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township. Administratrix: Marina B. Poole c/o Young and Young, 44 S. Main Street, P.O. Box 126, Maheim, PA 17545.

Attorney: Young and Young.

### Cullen, Alma L., dec'd.

Late of Columbia.

Executrix: Diane M. Neff, 177 Tanglewood Dr., Quarryville, PA 17566.

Attorney: None.

# Dougherty, Cynthia M. a/k/a Cynthia Mae Dougherty, dec'd.

Late of Landisville.

Co-Administratrices: Jennifer L. Santiago, Jessica M. Wagner c/o Kluxen, Newcomer & Dreisbach, Attorneys-at-Law, 2221 Dutch Gold Drive, Dutch Gold Business Center, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorney: Melvin E. Newcomer, Esquire.

# Drumm, Mary Jane a/k/a Mary J. Drumm, dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.

Executor: Linda E. Wagner c/o Jeffrey C. Goss, Esquire, 480 New Holland Avenue, Suite 6205, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorneys: Brubaker Connaughton Goss & Lucarelli LLC.

#### Eberhardt, Nedra S., dec'd.

Late of W. Lampeter Twp.

Executors: The Glenmede Trust Company, N.A., Heather B. Hall, Attn.: Isabel Albuquerque, 1650 Market St., Ste. 1200, Phila., PA 19103.

Attorney: Gary Calvin, Atty., Dilts Macary & Calvin, 455 Pennsylvania Ave., #220, Ft. Washington, PA 19034.

### Foreman, William Emil a/k/a

#### William E. Foreman, dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.

Co-Executors: William K. Foreman, Karen Foreman c/o Kluxen, Newcomer & Dreisbach, Attorneys-at-Law, 2221 Dutch Gold Drive, Dutch Gold Business Center, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorney: Melvin E. Newcomer, Esquire.

### Fox, Mary Jane, dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.

Executrix: Verna E. Miller c/o Ann L. Martin, Attorney, P.O. Box 5349, Lancaster, PA 17606. Attorney: Gibbel Kraybill & Hess LLP.

#### Gibble, Etta Mae, dec'd.

Late of Rapho Township.

Executor: Linda L. Trout, c/o Young and Young, 44 S. Main Street, P.O. Box 126, Manheim, PA 17545.

Attorney: Young and Young.

#### Gingrich, Nancy L., dec'd.

Late of East Petersburg Borough.

Executor: Charles F. Gingrich. Attorney: Richard Seneca, Esq., Seneca Law, P.O. Box 333, Lewisberry, PA 17339.

# Goodling, Sylvia F. a/k/a Sylvia Faye Goodling, dec'd.

Late of West Donegal Township. Executor: Susan J. Spittle, 1729 Ridgewood Rd., York, PA 17406. Attorney: None.

#### Gridley, Mary J., dec'd.

Late of Lancaster County.

Executors: David R. Gridley, 105

Dauphin Dr., Media, PA 19063,

Candace L. Buckbee, 1861 Serene Way, Lancaster, PA 17602. Attorney: None.

### Hauck, Shirley L., dec'd.

Late of West Earl Township.

Executor: Terry L. Houck c/o A. Anthony Kilkuskie, 117A West Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522. Attorney: A. Anthony Kilkuskie, 117A West Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522.

#### Henry, Faye L., dec'd.

Late of East Drumore Township. Co-Executrices: Lisa M. Minchoff and Laurie E. Risk c/o Kluxen, Newcomer & Dreisbach, Attorneys-at-Law, 2221 Dutch Gold Drive, Dutch Gold Business Center, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorney: Melvin E. Newcomer, Esquire.

#### Herman, Joseph F., dec'd.

Late of West Earl Township.

Administrator: Kevin Herman, 549 Jefferson Road, Mullica Hill, NJ 08062.

Attorney: Christopher Mazullo, Esquire, 2003 Lower State Road, Suite 120, Doylestown, PA 18901.

# Hodges, Lawrence W. a/k/a Lawrence William Hodges, dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.

Executor: John D. Hodges c/o Jeffrey C. Goss, Esquire, 480 New Holland Avenue, Suite 6205, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: Brubaker Connaughton Goss & Lucarelli LLC.

# Hostetter, Ralph M., $\operatorname{dec'd}$ .

Late of Manheim Township.

Executor: Wendy H. Rowley and Carolyn M. Hostetter c/o May Herr & Grosh, LLP, 234 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: Matthew A. Grosh.

### Hughes, Robert C., dec'd.

Late of Lancaster City.

Executor: Sandra Dee Atonic, Tearsa L. Gruber c/o Pyfer, Reese, Straub, Gray & Farhat, P.C., 128 N. Lime Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: Pyfer, Reese, Straub, Gray & Farhat, P.C.

### Kleinz, Dennis J., dec'd.

Late of Township of Colerain.

Executrix: Rebecca M. Kleinz c/o Law Office of James Clark, 277 Millwood Road, Lancaster, PA 17603.

Attorney: James R. Clark.

#### Knarr, Daniel R., dec'd.

Late of Lancaster Township.

Executrix: Laura R. Knarr c/o Russell, Krafft & Gruber, LLP, 101 North Pointe Blvd, Suite 202, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorney: Lindsay M. Schoeneberger.

# Loomis, Mary E. a/k/a Mary Elizabeth Loomis, dec'd.

Late of Ephrata Borough.

Executors: M. Louise Bowman, James R. Loomis c/o C. Thomas Work, Esq., 111 N. 6th St., P.O. Box 679, Reading, PA 19603.

Attorney: C. Thomas Work, Atty., Stevens & Lee, 111 N. 6th St., P.O. Box 679, Reading, PA 19603.

Martin, Susan Arlene, dec'd.

Late of New Holland Borough.

Executor: Terry L. Martin c/o Good & Harris, LLP, 132 West Main Street, New Holland, PA 17557.

Attorney: Good & Harris, LLP.

# McElhenny, Larry Richard a/k/a Larry R. McElhenny, dec'd.

Late of the Borough of Millers-ville.

Executor: Tara Jo McElhenny c/o Jeffrey C. Goss, Esquire, 480 New Holland Avenue, Suite 6205, Lancaster, Pa 17602.

Attorney: Brubaker Connaughton Goss & Lucarelli LLC.

# McGinnis, Glenn Michael a/k/a Glenn M. McGinnis, dec'd.

Late of the Borough of Lititz.

Executor: Melissa A. McGinnis c/o Gibble Law Offices, P.C., 126 East Main Street, Lititz, PA 17543.

Attorney: Stephen R. Gibble.

#### Miller, Charles R., dec'd.

Late of Leacock Township.

Executors: Charles A. Miller, Kenneth R. Miller c/o Good & Harris, LLP, 132 West Main Street, New Holland, PA 17557. Attorney: Good & Harris, LLP.

#### Myers, Margaret R., dec'd.

Late of Lancaster Township.

Executrix: Carol M. Myers c/o Kluxen, Newcomer & Dreisbach, Attorneys-at-Law, 2221 Dutch Gold Drive, Dutch Gold Business Center, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorney: Melvin E. Newcomer, Esquire.

### Nendza, Emily Jane a/k/a Em-

#### ily S. Nendza, dec'd.

Late of Manheim Twp.

Executor: Scott D. Nendza c/o Vance E. Antonacci, Esq., 570 Lausch Ln., Ste. 200, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorney: Vance E. Antonacci, Atty., McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC, 570 Lausch Ln., Ste. 200, Lancaster, PA 17601.

#### Nixdorf, Betty J., dec'd.

Late of Warwick Township.

Executrix: Susan A. Kunkle c/o Appel Yost & Zee LLP, 33 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: Jeffrey P. Ouellet.

# Peters, Robin W., dec'd.

Late of the Township of Providence.

Executor: Michael G. Warfel c/o Law Office of James Clark, 277 Millwood Road, Lancaster, PA 17603.

Attorney: James R. Clark.

#### Pflumm, Richard A., dec'd.

Late of Conestoga Twp.

Executrix: Coreen Pflumm-Overmyer c/o Michael C. McBratnie, Esq., P.O. Box 673, Exton, PA 19341.

Attorney: Michael C. McBratnie, Atty., Fox Rothschild LLP, P.O. Box 673, Exton, PA 19341.

#### Randall, Joan B., dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.

Executrix: Stacie J. Self c/o Russell, Krafft & Gruber, LLP, 101 North Pointe Blvd, Suite 202, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorney: Nichole M. Baer.

Rivera, Rosario, dec'd.

Late of Lancaster City.

Executor: Noel Rivera c/o Nikolaus & Hohenadel, LLP, 212 North Queen Street, Lancaster, PA 17603.

Attorney: Barbara Reist Dillon, Esquire.

#### Sensenig, Larry B., dec'd.

Late of Rapho Twp.

Executor: Elvin B. Sensenig c/o Randall K. Miller, 659 East Willow Street, Elizabethtown, PA 17022.

Attorney: Law Office of Attorney Randall K. Miller.

### Sharp, Lona Carol, dec'd.

Late of Lancaster Township.

Executor: Joseph W. Sharp c/o James N. Clymer, Esquire, 408 West Chestnut Street, Lancaster, PA 17603.

Attorney: Clymer Musser & Sarno, PC.

#### Sheaffer, June E., dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.

Co-Executors: Brett L. Sheaffer, Bart L. Sheaffer c/o E. Richard Young, Jr., Esq., 1248 W. Main St., Ephrata, PA 17522.

Attorney: E. Richard Young, Jr., Esq.

#### Shuman, John A. Jr., dec'd.

Late of East Donegal Township. Executor: Susan Terrell, 251 Canterbury Dr., Wallingford, PA 19086.

Attorney: None.

#### Sload, Anne C., dec'd.

Late of Caernarvon Twp.

Executrices: Diane Facinelli, Roseanne Teti c/o Andrew H. Dohan, Esq., 460 E. King Rd.,

Malvern, PA 19355-3049.

Attorney: Andrew H. Dohan, Atty., Lentz, Cantor & Massey, Ltd., 460 E. King Rd., Malvern, PA 19355-3049.

### Stover, Harry D., dec'd.

Late of West Cocalico Township. Executrices: Sharon K. Fine-frock, Brenda F. King c/o A. Anthony Kilkuskie, 117A West Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522. Attorney: A. Anthony Kilkuskie, 117 A. West Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522.

# Weaver, Ivan Z. a/k/a Ivan Zimmerman Weaver, dec'd.

Late of East Earl Township. Executors: Alta W. Horst, Thelma M. Martin c/o Kling and Deibler, LLP, 131 W. Main Street, New Holland, PA 17557. Attorney: Ashley A. Glick, Esq., Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP.

#### Weigand, Ruth, dec'd.

Late of West Lampeter Township.

Executor: Susan W. Smith, Drew A. Weigand c/o May Herr & Grosh, LLP, 234 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: Matthew A. Grosh.

# Wilber, Lawrence Denis, dec'd.

Late of Ephrata Borough.

Administratrix: Cynthia Marie Flandorffer c/o Daniella A. Horn, Esq., 2202 Delancy Pl., Phila., PA 19013.

Attorney: Daniella A. Horn, Atty., Klenk Law, LLC, 2202 Delancy Pl., Phila., PA 19013.

# Winemiller, Muriel R. Sherlock, dec'd.

Late of Earl Twp.

Executrix: Nancy W. Hooton c/o Lisa A. Shearman, Esq., 375 Morris Rd., P.O. Box 1479, Lansdale, PA 19446-0773.

Attorney: Lisa A. Shearman, Atty., Hamburg, Rubin, Mullin, Maxwell, Lupin, P.C., 375 Morris Rd., P.O. Box 1479, Lansdale, PA 19446-0773.

#### Wireback, Helen L., dec'd.

Late of Millersville Borough.

Executor: Robert C. Wireback c/o Kluxen, Newcomer & Dreisbach, Attorneys-at-Law, 2221 Dutch Gold Drive, Dutch Gold Business Center, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorney: Melvin E. Newcomer, Esquire.

# Wyatt, Agnes L., dec'd.

Late of Peach Bottom.

Executor: Clinton J. Dickens c/o R. Samuel McMichael, Esquire, P.O. Box 296, Oxford, PA 19363.

Attorney: R. Samuel McMichael, Esquire.

#### THIRD PUBLICATION

# Barendregt, Frances Karyol, dec'd.

Late of East Hempfield Township.

Revocable Living Trust Agreement of Peter Frank Berendregt and Francis Karyol Barendregt dtd. 06/28/2008.

Trustee: Debra D. Sands c/o John M. Metzger, Esquire, 901 Rohrerstown Road, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorneys: Metzger and Spencer,

LLP.

### Bigony, John W., dec'd.

Late of Ephrata Township.

Executrix: Kathy Jo Sheaffer c/o E. Richard Young, Jr., Esq., 1248 W. Main St., Ephrata, PA 17522.

Attorney: E. Richard Young, Jr., Esq.

### Bookamer, Dorothy I., dec'd.

Late of Akron Borough.

Executrix: Joy E. Bookamer c/o Richard K. Dieterle, Jr., Esquire, 15 Strawberry Lane, Lititz, PA 17543.

Attorney: Richard K Dieterle, Jr., Esquire.

#### Carmen, John E., dec'd.

Late of the Township of Manor.

Executor: Gary L. Carmen c/o Nikolaus & Hohenadel, LLP, 222 S. Market Street, Suite 201, Elizabethtown, PA 17022.

Attorney: Jeffrey S. Shank, Esq.

#### Deitrick, Vicki, dec'd.

Late of Marietta Township.

Executor: David W. Deitrick c/o 327 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.

Attorney: Michael S. Grab, Esquire, Nikolaus & Hohenadel, LLP, 327 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.

#### Dochat, Fred G., dec'd.

Late of East Hempfield Township.

Executor: Christopher Todd Dochat c/o Robert E. Sisko, Esquire, 700 North Duke Street, P.O. Box 4686, Lancaster, PA 17604-4686.

Attorneys: Morgan, Hallgren,

Crosswell & Kane, P.C.

# Eberly, Miriam a/k/a Miriam S. Eberly, dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.

Co-Executors: Robert L. Eberly and Linda G. Miller c/o Thomas M. Gish, Sr., Attorney, P.O. Box 5349, Lancaster, PA 17606.

Attorneys: Gibbel Kraybill & Hess LLP.

# Fleming, David C., dec'd.

Late of Mountville Borough.

Administrator: Andrew D. Fleming c/o Young and Young, 44 S. Main Street, P.O. Box 126, Manheim, PA 17545.

Attorney: Young and Young.

# Flory, Barry L., dec'd.

Late of East Lampeter Twp.

Executor: James M. Flory, 600 Henry St., Warren, PA 16365.

Attorney: None.

# Fox, Gerald Weaver a/k/a Gerald W. Fox. dec'd.

Late of West Cocalico Township. Executor: Tracy A. Fox c/o Aevitas Law, PLLC, 1755 Oregon Pike, Suite 201, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorneys: Santo G. Spataro, Esquire; Aevitas Law, PLLC.

### Harsh, Betty J., dec'd.

Late of Lancaster Township.

Executor: James F. Harsh c/o Aevitas Law, PLLC, 1755 Oregon Pike, Suite 201, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorneys: Neil R. Vestermark, Esquire; Aevitas Law, PLLC.

#### Heiser, Cora L., dec'd.

Late of West Earl Township.

Executor: Albert Dale Heiser, Sr. c/o Gardner and Stevens, P.C. 109 West Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522.

Attorney: Kurt A. Gardner.

### Jay, Mildred A., dec'd.

Late of East Donegal Township. Executor: Robin L. Deares, 825 Nightlight Dr., York, PA 17402. Attorney: None.

### Jones, Jr., Edward L., dec'd.

Late of Lititz Borough.

Executrix: Catherine J. Bowers c/o Jennifer A. Galloway, Esq., Saxton & Stump, LLC, 208 Granite Run Dr., Ste. 300, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorney: Saxton & Stump, LLC.

### Kepner, Janet L., dec'd.

Late of Warwick Township.

Executor: Georgia L. Dimaano, 164 Pau Nel Drive, Landenberg, PA 19350.

Attorney: None.

# Keppley, Tanya M. a/k/a Tanya Marie Keppley, dec'd.

Late of Lancaster Township.

Executor: Jeremy Cressy c/o Appel Yost & Zee LLP, 33 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: Matthew C. Samley, Esquire.

#### Lavella, Lorraine D., dec'd.

Late of the Borough of Elizabethtown.

Executor: Justin Lavella.

Attorney: Melissa L. Kelso, Esquire, Kelso Law, LLC, 396 Alexander Spring Road, Suite 1, Carlisle, PA 17015.

### Leeder, G. Stewart a/k/a George Stewart Leeder, dec'd.

Late of East Hempfield Township.

Executrix: Barbara J. Maloney. Attorney: Angela M. Ward, Esq., Going & Plank, 140 E. King St., Lancaster, PA 17602.

### Lusk, Nancy M., dec'd.

Late of Lancaster.

Executor: Susan Stafford, 3 Southview Lane, Lititz, PA 17543.

Attorney: None.

### Markley, Kenneth J., dec'd.

Late of Ephrata Township.

Executrix: Melissa Umfer, 151 E. Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522.

Attorney: Dennis M. Hartranft, Jr., Esq.

#### Martin, Myrtle I., dec'd.

Late of Drumore Township.

Administrator: William H. Martin, Jr., 1007 Holtwood Road, Quarryville, PA 17566.

Attorney: Joseph Silvaggio, Esq., Willman & Silvaggio, LLP, 5500 Corporate Dr., Suite 150, Pittsburgh, PA 17237.

# Masengarb, Paul William a/k/a Paul W. Masengarb, dec'd.

Late of East Hempfield Township.

Co-Executors: Ann M. Rieker, Michael Masengarb c/o Nancy Mayer Hughes, Esquire, Barley Snyder LLP, 126 East King Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorneys: Barley Snyder LLP.

# **McFadden, Sr., John A.**, dec'd. Late of Lancaster County.

Date of Dancast

Representative: Glenda Williams, 187 Willamette Drive, Bear, Delaware 19701.

Attorney: None.

# Mihan, Alice T. a/k/a Alice Theresa Mihan, dec'd.

Late of Lititz Borough.

Executrix: Alicia Karen Ford c/o Gardner and Stevens, P.C., 109 West Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522.

Attorney: John C. Stevens.

### Myers, Betty J., dec'd.

Late of the Township of Martic.

Executor: Larry R. Myers.

Trustee: Larry R. Myers.

Revocable Living Trust Agreement of Betty J. Myers dtd. 10/01/2020 c/o Law Office of James Clark, 277 Millwood Road, Lancaster, PA 17603.

Attorney: James R. Clark.

# Naurez, Jr., Frederick O. a/k/a Frederick O. Naurez, dec'd.

Late of East Cocalico Township. Executrix: Christine L. Naurez c/o Appel, Yost & Zee LLP, 33 N. Duke St., Lancaster, PA 17602. Attorney: James K. Noel, IV.

# Nolt, John J. a/k/a Nolt, John Jacob a/k/a Nolt, Jacob H., dec'd.

Late of Earl Township.

Executor: Craig N. Zimmerman c/o H. Charles Benner, Attorney, 200 East Main Street, Leola, PA 17540.

Attorney: H. Charles Benner.

# O'Brien, Reverend James R., dec'd.

Late of West Hempfield Township.

Executrix: Sister Alma O'Brien c/o James D. Bogar, Esq., Bogar & Hipp Law Offices, LLC, One West Main Street, Shiremanstown, PA 17011.

Attorney: James D. Bogar, Esq.

# Reese, M. Nancy a/k/a Martha Nancy Reese, dec'd.

Late of Adamstown Boro.

Executor: Jeffrey L. Reese c/o Appel, Yost, & Zee LLP, 33 N. Duke St., Lancaster, PA 17602. Attorney: James K. Noel, IV.

# Rohrer, Melvina G. a/k/a Melvina Sabatini Rohrer, dec'd.

Late of Millersville Borough.

Administratrix CTA: Beth Ann Rohrer c/o Angelo J. Fiorentino, Attorney, P.O. Box 5349, Lancaster, PA 17606.

Attorneys: Gibbel Kraybill & Hess, LLP.

#### Rohrer, Robert K., dec'd.

Late of Upper Leacock Township.

Administrator: Marc E. Rohrer c/o Steven R. Blair, Attorney at Law, 650 Delp Road, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorney: Steven R. Blair.

#### Seaman, Charles E., dec'd.

Late of East Donegal Township. Executor: Michael D. Seaman c/o Scott E. Albert, Esq., 50 East Main Street, Mount Joy, PA 17552.

Attorney: Scott E. Albert, Esq.

### Seidel, Editha W., dec'd.

Late of the City of Reinholds.

Executrix: Christina R. Good, 270 Resh Road, Reinholds, PA 17569.

Attorney: Eric L. B. Strahn, Esquire, Strahn Law Offices, P.C., 5341 Perkiomen Avenue, Reading, PA 19606.

#### Settle, Sharon E., dec'd.

Late of Leola.

Executor: Jeffrey R. Santin, Jr., 2018 Milta Hill Road, Romansville, PA 19320.

Attorney: None.

### Smith, Doris, dec'd.

Late of West Hempfield Town-ship.

Executor: Larry T. Smith c/o Karl Kreiser, Esquire, 553 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.

Attorney: Mountz and Kreiser, 553 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.

### Valuch, Rose, dec'd.

Late of Lancaster Township.

Executors: R. Lynn Newcomer, Ann M. Valuch c/o May Herr & Grosh, LLP, 234 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: Matthew A. Grosh.

#### Weigel, David J., dec'd.

Late of Lancaster Township.

Executor: William M. Weigel c/o Karl Kreiser, Esquire, 553 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.

Attorney: Mountz & Kreiser, 553 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.

# Wissler, David E., dec'd.

Late of Drumore Township.

Executor: William D. Johnson, 576 Spring Valley Road, Quarryville, PA 17566.

Attorney: Samuel Goodley, III,

Esq., Sam Goodley Law LLC.

# Young, Betsy W. a/k/a Betsy Young a/k/a Betsy Kathryn Young, dec'd.

Late of Ephrata Township.

Executor: E. Richard Young, Jr., Esq., 1248 W. Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522.

Attorney: E. Richard Young, Jr., Esq.

#### ARTICLES OF DISSOLUTION

Notice is hereby given to all persons interested or who may be affected by CONESTOGA TOURS, Pennsylvania limited LLC. а liability company ("Company"), that the Members have filed a Certificate of Dissolution with the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and are now engaged in winding up and settling the affairs of said Company so that its existence shall be ended by the filing of a Certificate of Termination with the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania pursuant to the provisions of the Pennsylvania Limited Liability Company Act. It is hereby publicly requested that all persons with claims against said Company present them in accordance with this notice. Any such claims must be submitted in writing to Barley Snyder LLP, 126 E. King Street, Lancaster, PA 17602, Attn: Scott Landis, Esq., Re: Conestoga Tours, LLC. The written claim must describe the claim in reasonable detail, · set forth the amount of the claim, be accompanied by any written evidence to support the claim, and contain return contact information of the claimant or

claimant's counsel. A claim against said Company will be barred unless an action to enforce the claim is commenced within two years after publication of this notice.

BARLEY SNYDER LLP, Attorneys M-27

Notice is hereby given that the Board of Directors of Zion United Church of Christ New Providence Pennsylvania, a nonprofit corporation, has approved a resolution that the corporation voluntarily dissolve. Board Members are engaged in winding up and settling the affairs of said non-profit corporation under the provisions of the Pennsylvania Nonprofit Corporation Law. Pyfer, Reese, Straub, Gray & Farhat, P.C. Christopher C. Straub, Esquire 128 North Lime Street Lancaster, PA 17602 (717) 299-7342

M-27

#### ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION

core-life family missions was incorporated on April 20, 2022, under the provisions of the Pennsylvania Nonprofit Corporation Law of 1988, as amended. The corporation is organized exclusively for charitable, religious, and educational purposes within the meaning of IRC Section 50l(c) (3). Nevin D. Beiler, Esq, Attorney M-27

Notice is hereby given that Articles of Incorporation were filed with the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, on March 31, 2022, for the pur-

pose of incorporating a Domestic Non-Profit Business Corporation organized under the Pennsylvania Non-Profit Corporation Law of 1988, as amended. The name of the Corporation is

# Hempfield Summer Swim Team, Inc.

The corporation is a not for profit corporation organized for the purpose of a booster club and to provide swimming instruction and competitive swimming opportunities for children.

M-27

Notice is hereby given that **Iglesia Pentecostal Bajo El Fuego Del Espiritu Santo, Inc.**has been incorporated under the provisions of the Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law of 1988.

Yamil M. De Jesus 1184 Maple Ave. Lancaster PA 17603

M-27

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a business corporation known as **OCMD2**, **Inc**., has been incorporated under the provisions of the Business Corporation Law of 1988 of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Act of December 21, 1988, P.L. 1444, No. 177. HARMON & DAVIES, P.C. Attorneys at Law

M-27

Notice is hereby given that a nonprofit corporation known as

# RETREAT AT STRASBURG VILLAGE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION

was incorporated on May 18, 2022, under the provisions of the Nonprofit Corporation Law

of 1988, for the purpose to be the Association of Unit Owners organized pursuant to Pennsylvania Uniform Planned Community Act. Act 180 1996, Title 68 Pa. C.S.A. Section 5101 et seq., as amended (the "Act"), with respect to Retreat at Strasburg Village, a Planned Community, located in Strasburg Township. Lancaster Pennsylvania, and established or to be established pursuant to the provisions of the Act. In furtherance of its purposes, the corporation may exercise all rights, privileges, powers and authority of a corporation organized under the Nonprofit Corporation Law of 1988, as amended, and of an association of unit owners organized under the Act. BARLEY SNYDER

BARLEY SNYDER Attorneys

LLC

100 Pine Street

M-27

#### ASSUMED NAME NOTICE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that an application for registration of the assumed name Pathways to Consulting for the conduct of business in Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, with the principal place of business being 2200 Strickler Road, Manheim, 17545 was made to the Department State of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, on the 18th day of April 2022, pursuant to 54 Pa.C.S. §311. The name of the entity owning or interested in the said business is Life Reloaded Specialty Publishing, LLC. McNEES WALLACE & NURICK

P.O. Box 1166 Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166

M-27

### **CHANGE OF NAME NOTICES**

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL ACTION-LAW CI-21-06484

In re: CHANGE OF NAME OF **DAMION JAMES FLOYD**, a minor by John Swofford NOTICE OF NAME CHANGE PROCEEDING

Notice is hereby given that the Petition of Damion minor James Flovd, а bv John Swofford was filed with the Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster County. Pennsylvania, praying to change the name of Damion James Floyd to Damion John Swofford. A Hearing will be held on said Petition on July 25, 2022 at 1:30 p.m. Courtroom No. 4 of the Lancaster County Court House, 50 North Duke Street, Lancaster, Pennsylvania, at which any persons interested may attend and show cause, if any, why the prayer of the Petition should not be granted.

Respectfully submitted, Nikolaus & Hohenadel, LLP

M-27

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL ACTION-LAW CI-21-06488

In re: CHANGE OF NAME OF

**MADELYN BETH FLOYD**, a minor by John Swofford

NOTICE OF NAME CHANGE PROCEEDING

Notice is hereby given that the Petition of Madelyn Beth Flovd. minor а by John Swofford was filed with the Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, praying to change the name of Madelyn Beth Floyd Madelyn Beth Swofford. AHearing will be held on said Petition on July 25, 2022 at 1:45 p.m. in Courtroom No. 4 of the Lancaster County Court House, 50 North Duke Street, Lancaster, Pennsylvania, at which any persons interested may attend and show cause, if any, why the prayer of the Petition should not be granted.

Respectfully submitted, Nikolaus & Hohenadel, LLP

M-27

# FICTITIOUS NAME NOTICES

Notice is hereby given that an Application for Registration of Fictitious Name was filed in the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on February 11, 2022 for Earthn at 2402 Lynne Ln. Millersville, PA 17551. The name and address each individual interested in the business is Gavana Sundarakumar at 2402 Lynne Ln. Millersville, PA 17551. This was filed in accordance with 54 PaC.S. 311.417

M-27

LeAlan Hoover at 11 Brethren Church Road, Leola PA 17540 did file in the Office of the Secretary of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, on or about 3/15/2022 registration of the name **Groffdale Diesel** under which he intends to do business at 11 Brethren Church Road, Leola PA 17540 pursuant to the provisions of the Act of Assembly of December 16, 1982, Chapter 3, known as the "Fictitious Name Act".

M-27

Notice is hereby given that an Application for Registration of Fictitious Name was filed in the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on January 31, 2022 for KAMM Mobile Services at Bainbridge Rd. Elizabethtown, PA 17022. The name and address of each individual interested in the business is William Dean Goretzke at 2112 Bainbridge Rd. Elizabethtown, PA 17022. This was filed in accordance with 54 PaC.S. 311.417

M-27

Notice is hereby given that an Application for Registration of Fictitious Name was filed in the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on February 08, 2022 for **S & R** Family Ventures at 966 Pennsy Road, Pequea, PA 17565. The name and address of each individual interested in the business is Susan Whittaker at 966 Pennsy Road, Pequea, PA 17565. This was filed in accordance with 54 PaC.S. 311.417

M-27

Notice is hereby given that an

Application for Registration of Fictitious Name was filed in the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on January 31, 2022 for **The She Shed Boutique** at 6380 High Street East Petersburg, PA 17520. The name and address of each individual interested in the business is Jessica Flory at 6380 High Street East Petersburg, PA 17520. This was filed in accordance with 54 PaC.S. 311.417

M-27

NOTICE OF ACTION IN MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA CIVIL ACTION - LAW NO.: C1-22-01378

MIDFIRST BANK, Plaintiff, vs. Kurt R. Campbell, Defendant

TO: Kurt R. Campbell You are hereby notified that Plaintiff. MidFirst Bank, filed an Action in Mortgage Foreclosure endorsed with a Notice to Defend, in the Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, docketed to No. Cl-22-01378, seeking to foreclose the mortgage secured by the real estate located at 458 Maple Street, Columbia, PA 17512. A copy of the Action in Mortgage Foreclosure will be sent to you upon request to the Attorney for the Plaintiff, Manley Deas Kochalski LLC, P. 0. Box 165028, Columbus, OH 43216-5028. Phone 614-220-5611.

You have been sued in court. If you wish to defend against the claims in this notice, you must take action within twenty (20) days after this publication, by entering a written appearance personally or by attorney and filing in writing

with the court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the court without further notice for any money claimed in the complaint or for any other claim or relief requested by the plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS NOTICE TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP. LAWYER REFERRAL SERVICE

Lawyer Referral Service Lancaster Bar Association 28 East Orange Street Lancaster, PA 17602 (717) 393-0737 Lawyer Referral Service Lancaster Bar Association 28 East Orange Street Lancaster, PA 17602 (717) 393-0737

# ORPHANS' COURT NOTICE

Orphans' Court Division Auditing Notices

To All Claimants, Beneficiaries, Heirs and Next of Kin, and other persons interested: NOTICE IS GIVEN that the following accounts decedents', incapacitated persons, minors', and estates have been filed in the office of the Clerk of the Orphans' Court division of the Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster County and will be presented to said Orphans' Court Division for Audit and confirmation therein to the parties legally entitled thereto on

#### June 7, 2022

at 9 o'clock a.m. in Courtroom No. 11 on the fourth floor of the Courthouse, 50 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA.

1. BAKER, MICHAEL D., deed., 2018-1801. First & Final Acct., Erin N. Shuey, Admin., David R. Galloway, Atty.

2. BOYER, SHAWN PATRICK, deed.; 2019-0226. First & Final Acct., Donna L. Miklas, Admin., Lindsay M. Schoeneberger, Atty. 3. GROW, CONSTANCE ("CONNIE") M., POA. 2021-3458. First & Partial Acct., John Aranowicz, Agent, Kendra D. McGuire, Atty.

4. GROW, DOUGLAS, POA. 2021-3458. First & Partial Acct., John Aranowicz, Agent, Kendra D. McGuire, Atty.

Anne L. Cooper Clerk of the Orphans' Court Division of the Court of Common Pleas

M-27; J-3

#### **SUITS ENTERED**

Defendant's name appears first in capitals, followed by plaintiff's name, number and plaintiff's or appellant's attorney.

> May 12, 2022 to May 18, 2022

BERKSHIRE HATHAWAY HOMESERVICES, MULL, DAVID; William Stahlman; 02774; Freeburn BLITZER, SCOTT, RIVERA, CAR-OLYN; Zachary Walker; 02846, Ward CHERNOVSKY, VERA P.; Terry L. Weaver; 02796; Anderson EPHRATA COMMUNITY HOSPI-TAL, WELLSPAN EPHRATA COM-MUNITY HOSPITAL, WELLSPAN HEALTH, WELLSPAN MEDICAL GROUP, KACHHADIYA M.D., GOVINDBHAI, WELLSPAN HOS-PITALISTS; Helen Youndt; 02797; Specter GONGO, MELANIE; Curtis Johnson; 02893; Salvatore GOSS, JARROD P.; Rock Creek Capital LLC; 02894; Claffey GROFF, LARRY E.; US Bank National Association; 02876; Nolan LANCASTER OTONLARYNGOLO-GY, LLP, ROSENFELD BELSER AND DAVIS, BELSER, JR., M.D., ROBERT B.; Wendy B. Smith; 02769; Jabour MARTINEZ, ADRIAN; Jamilla Ri ley-Epps; 02779; Fontecchio MATTSON, LISA, MATTSON ESTATE OF, FRED; Zerbe Sisters Nursing Center, Inc.; 02794; Marriott MAXWELL, BETH, MAXWELL BETH D.; American Express National Bank; 02882; Nolan MENDOZA, OSVALDO COELLO; Zimmerman's Roofing; 02799; Gingrich NIEVES, NANCY; Crown Asset Management LLC; 02864; Deenis RANDALL, COLBY, RANDALL, DORIS; Pennsylvania National Mutual Casualty Company; 02819; Brenner RODRIGUEZ, NATASHA; Goldman Sachs Bank USA; 02875; House SIMS, ROBERT M.; John E. Feather, Jr., Esq.; 02788 STEEL TOE LOGISTICS INC; Judith Yuricheck; 02883; Dion SWEITZER, TAMARA; Discover Bank; 02879; Dhanda

TCHEISHVILI, SABA, NATIONS

#### LANCASTER LAW REVIEW

UNITED LOGISTICS LLC; Scott Hershberger, Jr.; 02778; McDan-

iel

WOODWORTH, SCOTT J; Wells Fargo Bank NA; 02682; Cirino WRIGHT, NICHOLAS ALEXAN-DER; Penn Grant Willow Street

LP; 02827; Hess

#### 2022 HOLIDAYS

Courthouse will be closed on the following holidays in 2022:

New Year's Day December 31
ML King, Jr. Day January 17
Presidents' Day February 21
Good Friday April 15
Memorial Day May 30
Juneteenth June 20
Independence Day July 4

Labor Day September 5
Columbus Day October 10
Veterans' Day November 11

Thanksgiving November 24 & 25

Christmas December 26

# The Lancaster Law Review (USPS 304080) is published weekly by the Lancaster Bar Association, 28 E. Orange St., Lancaster, PA 17602.

Advertisements and news items must be received before 12:00pm the preceding Friday. Deadlines are subject to change for holiday schedule. Subscription: \$87.00 per year in advance; single copies \$3.40. All legal notices must be submitted in typewritten form and are published exactly as submitted by the advertiser. Neither the Lancaster Law Review nor the printer will assume any responsibility to edit, make spelling corrections, eliminate errors in grammar or make any changes in content. The Lancaster Law Review makes no representation as to the quality of services offered by any advertiser in this publication.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to the Lancaster Law Review, 28 E. Orange St., Lancaster, PA 17602.

Submissions should be e-mailed to <u>lawreview@lancasterbar.org</u> or faxed to 717-393-0221. Please visit <u>www.lancasterbar.org</u> for more information and current legal advertisement rates.