

Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster County

Criminal

Commonwealth v. Duamel Molina

Motion to Suppress — Municipal Police Jurisdiction Act — 42 Pa. C.S.A. § 8951 et seq. — Controlled Purchase of Narcotics — Extraterritorial Police Investigation Violates MPJA — Facts Do Not Satisfy MPJA §8953(a)(2) Exception — Suppression Required

Opinion. Commonwealth of Pennsylvania v. Duamel Molina. No. 3445-2020

Deborah L. Greathouse, Esquire for Commonwealth
Christopher M. Tallarico, Esquire for Defendant

OPINION BY: ASHWORTH, P.J., APRIL 6, 2022. Before the Court is Defendant Duamel Molina’s Omnibus Pretrial Motion to Suppress Evidence.¹ Molina is charged, along with co-defendant Megan Lynn Haupt,² with one count of possession with intent to deliver fentanyl/heroin and one count of criminal conspiracy to deliver fentanyl and heroin, and one count of criminal use of a communication facility,³ stemming from an arranged “buy/walk” incident that occurred on December 11, 2019, at 1637 Lincoln Highway, East Lampeter Township. Having considered Haupt’s Motion to Suppress, the testimony and argument presented at the joint suppression hearing, and the subsequently filed briefs of all parties, the Court will grant the Motion to Suppress for the reasons set forth below.

I. FACTS

For purposes of this motion to suppress, the facts are largely uncontested⁴ and were presented through the testimony of Officer Brian Sinnott, a member of the Lancaster Bureau of Police assigned to the Selective Enforcement Unit (SEU) and Detective Richard Gauck, a member of the East Hempfield Township Police Department in Lancaster County, assigned to the Lancaster County Drug Task Force (Drug Task Force) as a full-time detective. Notes of Testimony (N.T.), Suppression Hearing, at 7, 41-42.

The general purpose of SEU is to “solve quality-of-life issues for the residents of Lancaster” primarily through narcotics investigations. N.T., Suppression, at 7-8. The SEU’s jurisdiction is limited to the geographical boundaries of Lancaster City and does not extend to the broader geographic area of Lancaster County as a whole. *Id.* at 8. On December 11, 2019, SEU utilized a confidential informant (CI) to conduct what is known as a “buy/walk” operation. In a typical “buy/walk” situation, police officers will direct a confidential informant to arrange a controlled purchase of narcotics with a suspected drug dealer. Officers then covertly observe the planned transaction between the confidential

¹ This matter has been consolidated for trial with co-defendant, Megan Lynn Haupt (Docket No. 3444-2020), who filed a separate pretrial motion to suppress on identical grounds. Although the Court held a joint Suppression Hearing on November 17, 2021, a separate opinion is being filed for each defendant.

² Docket No. 3444-2020

³ In violation of 35 P.S. § 780-113(a)(30), 18 P.S. § 903, and 18 P.S. §7512(a), respectively.

⁴ Neither Defendant Haupt nor Defendant Molina admits guilt in this matter, however, for purposes of this motion to suppress, neither seriously questions the facts relating to the course of action taken by law enforcement officers on December 11, 2019, or the location of the drug delivery in question.

informant and suspect but do not initiate a contemporaneous arrest. Instead, in buy/walk operations, police officers opt to arrest suspects at a later date, largely to protect the identity of the confidential informant. *Id.* at 8-10.

In this case, the CI reported to SEU on December 11, 2019, that they⁵ could arrange to purchase heroin and/or fentanyl from Defendant Molina (Molina). N.T., Suppression at 7. Officer Sinnott was operating as the undercover officer on that date, and the remaining SEU officers were prepared to serve as surveillance teams. *Id.* at 12. At Sinnott's direction, the CI contacted the individual alleged to be Molina about arranging a drug purchase. *Id.* at 23. The CI and Molina exchanged text messages via Facebook Messenger⁶ and also phone calls to arrange a meeting later that day for the sale of \$60 worth of heroin and/or fentanyl. *Id.* at 12. The CI "was told to travel to a laundromat in the Bridgeport area on Lincoln Highway, which would be just east of Lancaster City." *Id.* at 12-14.⁷ It is typical for drug dealers and not buyers to determine when and where a transaction will occur. *Id.* at 14. Although SEU knew that the specified laundromat was outside the City of Lancaster and therefore outside of its authorized jurisdiction, SEU officers made a group decision to proceed with the buy/walk operation at that location nonetheless. *Id.* at 14, 33. Officer Sinnott explained the decision to proceed was made, in part, out of concern that the CI's identity and safety would be compromised if they attempted to change the meeting location to a place within SEU's jurisdiction. N.T., Suppression at 14, 20. Officer Sinnott and the CI arrived at the laundromat, and other SEU officers set up various surveillance locations outside in the surrounding area. Subsequently, the individual identified as Molina contacted the CI and changed the meeting place from the laundromat to a Sunoco gas station. The Sunoco was just across the street and still outside the City of Lancaster/SEU jurisdiction. *Id.* at 15. The time elapsed between the CI's initial contact with Molina and the time of the location change was approximately 19 minutes. *Id.* at 30. During that time period, Officer Sinnott did not contact the Drug Task Force for assistance outside SEU's jurisdiction⁸ and could not recall whether any other law enforcement agencies had been contacted. *Id.* at 31-32.

At the Sunoco, the drugs in question were delivered to the CI who then delivered the same to Officer Sinnott. The entire drug sale/delivery was captured on surveillance footage at the Sunoco. N.T., Suppression at 16-17. At the scene, SEU officers remained concealed, never identified themselves to the defendants, and in keeping with customary buy/walk protocols, SEU officers allowed Molina and Haupt to leave the scene without arrest.⁹ *Id.* at 36. Officer Sinnott waited approximately six

⁵ The Court will refer to the CI using non-gendered pronouns as the gender of the CI was not disclosed at the Suppression Hearing.

⁶ The individual texting with the CI used a Facebook profile in the name of Duamel Molina. N.T., Suppression at 12.

⁷ The Commonwealth stipulated that the actual felony drug delivery occurred outside the City of Lancaster but maintained at the hearing and on brief that the setup for the delivery, which the Commonwealth argues is part of the crime, occurred in Lancaster City. N.T., Suppression at 20. After discussion, the Court noted for the record that it was satisfied the Sunoco in question was outside the City of Lancaster. *Id.* at 20-21.

⁸ The Drug Task Force's territorial jurisdiction includes all of Lancaster County. N.T., Suppression at 18, 41-42.

⁹ There was no testimony as to how or why Haupt came to be with Molina at the Sunoco during the drug

months before contacting Detective Gauck of the Drug Task Force on or about June 25, 2020, to request assistance with charging Haupt and Molina in connection with the buy/walk sale from December 11, 2019. *Id.* at 17-18. Unlike SEU, the Drug Task Force’s territorial jurisdiction covers all of Lancaster County, including the Sunoco gas station where the drug sale/delivery in question was conducted. *Id.* at 18, 41-42. Detective Gauck served as the affiant for the warrants obtained to arrest and charge Haupt and Molina even though he had no prior, individual knowledge of the buy/walk drug sale/delivery conducted by SEU on December 11, 2019. *Id.* at 42-43.

II. DISCUSSION

The two issues before the Court are: 1) whether SEU officers were legally authorized to conduct the buy/walk operation outside the territorial limits of SEU’s primary jurisdiction; and 2) if SEU officers did not have such legal authority, whether suppression of the evidence is required. When a motion to suppress has been filed, the burden is on the Commonwealth to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the challenged evidence was not obtained in violation of a defendant’s rights. Pa. R.Crim.P. 581(H); *Commonwealth v. Wallace*, 42 A.3d 1040, 1047-48 (Pa. Super. 2012)(*en banc*).

A. Municipal Police Jurisdiction Act

In order to promote “public safety while maintaining jurisdictional police lines,” the Pennsylvania General Assembly enacted the Municipal Police Jurisdiction Act, 42 Pa. C.S.A. 8951 *et seq.* (MPJA), which “provides that a municipal police officer may perform the functions of his or her office anywhere within his or her primary jurisdiction.” *Commonwealth v. Hlubin*, 208 A.3d 1032, 1040 (Pa. 2019). Originally, the only exception to this statutory limitation existed to accommodate officers in “hot pursuit” of a fleeing suspect who had committed a crime within the municipal police officer’s primary jurisdiction and who was fleeing into another jurisdiction. *Id.* at 1041-1042. Recognizing that “constructing impenetrable jurisdictional walls benefited only the criminals hidden in their shadows,” the legislature revised the MPJA in 1982 to allow the exercise of extra-jurisdictional police authority in six specific and limited circumstances. *Id.* The exceptions now enumerated at MPJA §8953(a) are as follows:

- (1) Where the officer is acting pursuant to an order issued by a court of record or an order issued by a district magistrate whose magisterial district is located within the judicial district wherein the officer’s primary jurisdiction is situated, or where the officer is otherwise acting pursuant to the requirements of the Pennsylvania Rules of Criminal Procedure, except that the service of an arrest or search warrant shall require the consent of the chief law enforcement officer, or a person authorized by him to give consent, of the organized law enforcement agency which regularly provides primary

delivery; fortunately, answers to such lingering questions are irrelevant to the Court’s legal analysis of the issues before it.

police services in the municipality wherein the warrant is to be served.

(2) Where the officer is in hot pursuit of any person for any offense which was committed, or which has probable cause to believe was committed, within his primary jurisdiction and for which offense the officer continues in fresh pursuit of the person after the commission of the offense.

(3) Where the officer:

(i) has been requested to assist a Federal, State, or local law enforcement officer, or park police officer;

(ii) has probable cause to believe that a Federal, State, or local law enforcement officer, or park police officer is in need of aid or assistance; or

(iii) has been requested to participate in a Federal, State, or local task force and participation has been approved by the police department of the municipality which employs the officer.

(4) Where the officer has obtained the prior consent of the chief law enforcement officer, or a person authorized by him to give consent, of the organized law enforcement agency which provides primary police services to a political subdivision which is beyond that officer's primary jurisdiction to enter the other jurisdiction for the purpose of conducting official duties which arise from official matters within his primary jurisdiction.

(5) Where the officer is on official business and views an offense, or has probable cause to believe that an offense has been committed, and makes a reasonable effort to identify himself as a police officer and which offense is a felony, misdemeanor, breach of the peace or other act which presents an immediate clear and present danger to persons or property.

(6) Where the officer views an offense which is a felony, or has probable cause to believe that an offense which is a felony has been committed, and makes a reasonable effort to identify himself as a police officer.

42 Pa. C.S.A. §8953(a).

It is undisputed in this matter that the actual drug delivery occurred outside the defined territorial jurisdiction of the SEU, which narrows the first issue to whether the Commonwealth has proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the SEU officers' actions, though taken outside SEU's authorized jurisdiction, were nonetheless legal under one of the MPJA's six exceptions. The Court agrees with defendants Haupt and Molina that the Commonwealth has failed "to establish any exception that would permit the members of the Lancaster City S.E.U. to conduct a drug operation outside of the geographical territorial jurisdiction of

Lancaster County.” Haupt Br. in Supp. at 4 (unpaginated). The facts of record simply cannot be molded to fit any of the MPJA’s six carefully tailored exceptions to allow SEU officers to engage in the type of extra-territorial police operations they did in this case.

Our Superior Court has opined, in a strikingly similar factual situation, that the MPJA’s territorial limitations on police conduct *include* limitations on extra-territorial police *investigations*, even when the charges stemming from those investigations are later brought by other law enforcement officers with proper authority in the relevant jurisdiction. See *Commonwealth v. Saul*, 499 A.2d 358 (Pa. Super. 1985).¹⁰ In *Saul*, a Harrisburg police officer working with a confidential informant set up and participated in an extra-territorial controlled purchase of narcotics. The officer “knowingly went beyond the geographical bounds of the City of Harrisburg for the express purpose of conducting an investigation of drug activity involving a residence in Susquehanna Township. There is no evidence in the record that [the officer] had been requested to participate in such activity by the Susquehanna Township police nor is there evidence of any agreement of cooperation between the officials of Harrisburg and Susquehanna Township.” *Id.* at 359. Interpreting the language of the revised MPJA §8953(a), the Superior Court found “no good reason” to interpret the MPJA’s language (regarding the power and authority to enforce the laws of this Commonwealth or otherwise perform the functions of that office) “to mean the limitation on a police officer’s authority outside his primary jurisdiction does not include his right to conduct investigations.” *Id.* at 361.

In the instant case, as in *Saul*, there is no evidence in the record and no argument made that the statutory exceptions found at §8953(a)(1), (a)(3), and (a)(4) would apply. Likewise, no serious argument can be made that the buy/walk here was authorized under §9853(a)(5) or (a)(6) since it is undisputed that Officer Sinnott made no attempt to “identify himself as a police officer”, an essential element under both sections.

Instead, the Commonwealth contends that SEU’s actions were legally authorized pursuant to §8953(a)(2) (officer in hot pursuit where offense was committed within primary jurisdiction and officer continues in fresh pursuit after the commission of the offense). The Commonwealth reasons that when the CI made initial contact with Molina to set up the drug sale and delivery, he did so from a location within the City of Lancaster/SEU’s jurisdiction, and such initial contact constituted an essential part of the crime. Accordingly, when SEU knowingly allowed the CI to meet with Molina outside SEU’s jurisdictional limits, SEU merely did so as part of an ongoing criminal investigation, which only incidentally required SEU’s presence beyond its legal jurisdiction. The Commonwealth concludes that SEU’s actions were thus fully authorized by the MPJA’s § 8953(a)(2).

As support, the Commonwealth relies by analogy on *Commonwealth v. Cole*, 167 A.3d 49 (Pa. Super. 2017). The *Cole* defendant

¹⁰ The analysis utilized by the Superior Court in *Saul* to determine whether an MPJA violation occurred in that case remains sound; however, its analysis regarding the proper remedy to impose for an MPJA violation is no longer appropriate, for reasons set for in Section II(B), *infra*.

was a suspect in several burglaries committed in the state of Maryland. During the ensuing investigation of those Maryland burglaries, Maryland police officers learned through cell phone records that the defendant was traveling to Pennsylvania. The Maryland officers followed the defendant outside as he traveled outside their jurisdiction and into Pennsylvania, where they covertly observed him engaging in additional criminal activity in this Commonwealth. *Id.* at 58-60. Upon observing the crime, the Maryland police officers made no attempt to arrest the defendant in Pennsylvania, a location clearly outside their jurisdiction, but chose instead to contact the appropriate Pennsylvania law enforcement authorities. The *Cole* defendant was later arrested and charged in Pennsylvania based in part on the Maryland officer's original observations in Pennsylvania and in part on a subsequent joint law enforcement operation that occurred in Pennsylvania. *Id.* at 58-60. On appeal, the Superior Court upheld the trial court's refusal to suppress evidence of the Maryland police officers' observations, finding that the Maryland officers were "investigating suspects who were believed to be in their own jurisdiction. After tracking the suspects to Pennsylvania, they observed them . . . and did not attempt to make an arrest. Instead, they followed the proper channels and made contact with detectives in Pennsylvania." *Id.* at 61 (cleaned up).

The Commonwealth maintains that SEU's actions in this case are akin to those of the Maryland police officers in *Cole*, arguing the initial agreement for the sale of illegal drugs was made within SEU's jurisdiction, and "[o]nce out of the City's jurisdiction [SEU officers] observe[d] the felony drug delivery that occur[ed] in their presence, thus continuing their investigation into illegal drug activity." Br. of Comwlth. at 6. This Court disagrees; the instant case is distinguished on its facts. In *Cole*, the defendant was a known suspect for a crime that had been fully committed and completed in the state of Maryland. As part and parcel of that investigation, the Maryland officers found the defendant traveling to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, followed him, and observed additional criminal activity at that time, outside of their jurisdiction. In this case, SEU officers themselves initiated an operation wherein they directed their CI to contact Molina and set up a buy/walk narcotics sale, which the CI successfully did. It is irrelevant that the CI was physically within the City of Lancaster/SEU's jurisdiction at the point of initial contact with Molina because the agreement to make a future sale of drugs is not the crime for which Defendants Haupt and Molina are charged. Significantly, SEU maintained absolute control at all times over the entire buy/walk operation, including whether it would even occur at all. Yet, SEU decided to proceed at the designated location, even knowing that location was outside of SEU's legally authorized jurisdiction.

The facts of this case do not satisfy the exception at 8953(a)(2). This is simply not a case like *Cole* where SEU observed a crime being committed within their jurisdiction and had no choice but to follow a suspect into another jurisdiction to complete the investigation. Rather, the drug transaction in this case was at all times under the SEU officers' ulti-

mate control, even though Molina suggested the meeting place. While the Court can certainly appreciate Officer Sinnott's stated reasons for SEU's decision to proceed with the operation, such reasons simply do not change the fact that the buy/walk operation was created and controlled by SEU officers, SEU knew the proposed location was outside their jurisdiction, and SEU chose as a group to proceed nonetheless. N.T., Suppression at 33. If canceling or changing the location would have compromised the identity and safety of the CI,¹¹ SEU could still have proceeded with the operation and complied with the MPJA simply by requesting assistance from a law enforcement agency, such as the Drug Task Force, that did possess clear legal authority to engage in law enforcement activities at the chosen location. The record shows there was sufficient time between the initial contact and the actual meeting for SEU officers to place surveillance teams at the designated location. N.T., Suppression at 29-30. Certainly, then, SEU would have had the time and opportunity to secure assistance from an authorized law enforcement body. Like the police officers in *Saul*, the SEU's collective, knowing choice to proceed with the buy/walk operation alone and outside their authorized territorial jurisdiction renders their actions in plain violation of the MPJA. *Commonwealth v. Saul*, *supra*. The SEU officers' subsequent use of Detective Gauck and the Drug Task Force to obtain the arrest warrants does not cure the violation. *See Saul*, *supra*.

B. Remedy

Having found that SEU officers exceeded their authority under the MPJA, we now turn to the proper remedy. Defendants maintain the Court must suppress any and all evidence obtained in connection with the illegal buy/walk operation. The Commonwealth suggests that suppression might not be the required remedy.

Courts faced with MPJA violations have previously declined to apply a blanket remedy of suppression, engaging instead in a three-pronged analysis first described in *Commonwealth v. O'Shea*, 567 A.2d 1023 (Pa. 1989). The analysis contemplated "the intrusiveness of the police conduct, the extent of deviation from the letter and spirit of the MPJA, and the prejudice to the accused." *Commonwealth v. Hlubin*, *supra* at 1038 (Pa. 2019)(citing *O'Shea*, 567. A.2d at 1030). More recently, however, our Supreme Court has acknowledged that by virtue of its previous refusals to apply the *O'Shea* test in factual situations that would have called for it, the *O'Shea* opinion and its three-factor test have effectively been overruled. *Id.* at 1049-1051. In *Commonwealth v. Hlubin*, the Supreme Court explicitly addressed the "continued vitality of *O'Shea*" upon a finding of an MPJA violation. *Id.* at 1049. While the *Hlubin* Court would not expressly overrule *O'Shea*, it was "unwilling to expressly condone the continued application of its three-factor test." *Id.* at 1049. Explaining, the Court noted that since deciding *O'Shea*, it had never again applied that test in any "subsequent suppression case involving a violation of the MPJA." Furthermore, the Court highlighted that in

¹¹ The Court finds Officer Sinnott's testimony credible and has no reasons to doubt that SEU officers truly believed their CI's safety would be compromised if they directed them not to follow through with the buy/walk at the location dictated by Molina.

several cases since *O’Shea*, it had “consistently held that when individuals engage in criminal law enforcement activities without any statutory authority to do so, evidentiary suppression is the remedy for any and all breaches.” *Id.* at 1049, 1050 (citations omitted). Thus, the *Hlubin* Court found that suppression was the proper remedy in that case when a police task force exercised its policing powers outside its territorial jurisdiction without authorization to do so under the MPJA. *Id.* at 1052.

In the instant case, the Court similarly finds itself faced with municipal police officers who knowingly conducted a law enforcement operation outside their territorial jurisdiction without authorization to do so under any of the enumerated exceptions listed in the MPJA. In light of the holding and strongly worded *dicta* of *Hlubin*, this Court is compelled to find that suppression of the evidence is the required remedy, and that Defendant Haupt and Defendant Molina’s motions to suppress must be granted.

III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the Commonwealth was unable to establish that SEU was legally authorized under the MPJA to carry out the instant buy/walk operation outside the territorial boundaries of SEU’s legal jurisdiction. Therefore, suppression of the evidence recovered as a result of the illegal operation must be suppressed.

As such, the Court enters the following:

ORDER

AND NOW, this 6th day of April, 2022, upon consideration of the Defendant's Motion to Suppress, the testimony heard at the Suppression Hearing, and upon subsequent briefing and argument from all parties, it is ORDERED that said motion is GRANTED and the evidence recovered as a result of the Special Enforcement Unit's illegal law enforcement operation on December 11, 2019, is SUPPRESSED, for reasons stated more fully in the Opinion accompanying this Order.

BY THE COURT:

DAVID L. ASHWORTH
PRESIDENT JUDGE

ESTATE AND TRUST NOTICES

Notice is hereby given that, in the estates of the decedents set forth below, the Register of Wills has granted letters testamentary or of administration to the persons named. Notice is also hereby given of the existence of the trusts of the deceased settlors set forth below for whom no personal representatives have been appointed within 90 days of death. All persons having claims or demands against said estates or trusts are requested to make known the same, and all persons indebted to said estates or trusts are requested to make payment, without delay, to the executors or administrators or trustees or to their attorneys named below.

FIRST PUBLICATION

Amaro, Angel, dec'd.

Late of Lancaster.
Executrix: Bertha Wilson, 53 S. Marshall St., Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorney: None.

Axe, Barbara Kay, dec'd.

Late of Lancaster County.
Executors: Jennifer Nicole Axe, Tyler Ian Axe c/o James D. Wolman, Esquire, 53 North Duke Street, Suite 309, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorney: James D. Wolman, Esquire.

Belt, Shirley Jean, dec'd.

Late of Lampeter Township.
Administratrix: Kerry Lee Blundin c/o Russell, Krafft & Gruber, LLP, 101 North Pointe Blvd, Suite 202, Lancaster, PA 17601.
Attorney: Lindsay M. Schoeneberger, Esquire.

Chami, Mounir K., dec'd.

Late of West Lampeter Township.
Executrix: Susan H. Chami c/o Randy R. Moyer, Esquire, Barley Snyder LLP, 126 East King Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorneys: Barley Snyder LLP.

Diem, Michael Scott, dec'd.

Late of East Earl Township.
Adminstrator: Rebekah L. Diem c/o George H. Eager, Esquire, 1347 Fruitville Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601.
Attorneys: Eager, Stengel, Quinn, Sofilka & Babic.

Esbenshade, Ruby Y. a/k/a Ruby Esbenshade, dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.
Co-Executors: Theodore L. Esbenshade, Denise E. Wenger c/o Randy R. Moyer, Esquire, Barley Snyder LLP, 126 East King Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorneys: Barley Snyder LLP.

Fry, Robert John, dec'd.

Late of Lancaster Township.
Administratrix: Susan Fry, 35 Burr Oak Rd., Millersville, PA 17551.
Attorney: Jason J Schibinger, Esquire, Buzgon Davis Law Offices, P.O. Box49, 525 South Eighth Street, Lebanon, PA 17042.

Greineder, Stanley P., dec'd.

Late of Paradise Township.
Executor: Louise Johnson c/o Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP, 131 W. Main Street, New Holland, PA 17557.
Attorney: Linda Kling, Esq., Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP.

Haines, John S., dec'd.

Late of Marietta Borough.
Personal Representative: Terry Lynn Haines c/o John H. May, Esquire, 49 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorney: May, Herr & Grosh, LLP.

Headland, John M. a/k/a John Mark Headland, dec'd.

Late of Warwick Township.
Executor: Phillip A. Headland c/o Jeffrey C. Goss, Esquire, 480 New Holland Avenue, Suite 6205, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorneys: Brubaker Connaughton Goss & Lucarelli LLC.

Howe, Fern M. a/k/a Fern Marguerite Howe, dec'd.

Late of New Holland Borough.
Executor: Sheri L. Hostetter c/o Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP, 131 W. Main Street, New Holland, PA 17557.
Attorney: Linda Kling, Esq., Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP.

Karr, James R., Jr., dec'd.

Late of West Hempfield Township.
Executor: Karl Kreiser c/o Karl Kreiser, Esquire, 553 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.
Attorney: Mountz & Kreiser, 553 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.

Keller, Mary Anne, dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.
Executor: Dean H. Keller c/o May Herr & Grosh, LLP, 234 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorney: Matthew A. Grosh.

Lavadera, Palmerino Lubrano, dec'd.

Late of Lancaster County.
Administratrix: Fulvia Schiano di Cola c/o Turp, Coates, Driggers & White, P.C. 170 South Main Street, Hightstown, NJ 08520.
Attorney: Niki A. Waters, Esq.

Lever, Johanna J. a/k/a Johanna Julia Lever, dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.
Co Executrices: Adrienne Friedman, Sonia Holbrook, Gabrielle Lawrence c/o Brian R. Ott, Esquire, Barley Snyder LLP, 126 East King Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorneys: Barley Snyder LLP.

Martin, Katie Z., dec'd.

Late of Denver Borough.
Executor: Henry M. Burkholder c/o Appel Yost & Zee LLP, 33 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorney: Jeffrey P. Ouellet, Esquire.

Martin, Tressie M., dec'd.

Late of Ephrata Borough.
Executor: Kerry Martin c/o Jennifer L. Mejia, Mejia Law Group, LLC, 1390 W. Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522.
Attorney: Mejia Law Group, LLC.

Meisenhelter, Janet H., dec'd.

Late of Elizabethtown.
Co-Executors: Holly R. Hoover, Karla E. Sarver c/o 110 S. Northern Way, York, PA 17402.
Attorney: Donald L. Reihart, Esquire.

Murphy, Matthew J. a/k/a Matthew James Murphy, dec'd.

Late of East Drumore Township.

Executor: Steven W. Wilhelm
c/o Paterson Law LLC, 2703
Willow Street Pike N, Willow
Street, PA 17584.
Attorney: Kim Carter Paterson.

Pelley, George F., dec'd.
Late of Quarryville.
Administratrix: Celeste M.
Esposito, 1173 Holtwood Road,
Holtwood, PA 17532.
Attorney: None.

Quino, Kenneth P., dec'd.
Late of Leola.
Executor: James M. Quino c/o
Scott G. Hoh, Esquire, Law Of-
fice of Scott G. Hoh, 606 North
5th Street Reading, PA 19601.

**Rambler, Tina M. a/k/a Tina
Marie Rambler**, dec'd.
Late of Lancaster City.
Administrator: Christina M.
Schaeffer c/o Young and Young,
44 S. Main Street, P.O. Box 126,
Manheim, PA 17545.
Attorney: Young and Young.

**Rodriguez-Zamora, Fernando
Felix**, dec'd.
Late of Pequea Township.
Administratrix: Aracely Gonza-
lez-Fuentes c/o Angela M. Ward,
Esq., 140 E. King St., Lancaster,
PA 17602.
Attorney: Angela M. Ward, Esq.

Rohrer, Donald G., dec'd.
Late of the Township of Man-
heim.
Executrices: Bonita K. Martin,
Jodi Beisker c/o Gibble Law Of-
fices, P.C., 126 East Main Street,
Lititz, PA 17543.
Attorney: Stephen R. Gibble.

Ruggieri, Peter J. a/k/a Peter

James Ruggieri, dec'd.
Late of Manheim Township.
Executrix: Stephanie D. Horst
c/o Ann L. Martin, Attorney,
P.O. Box 5349, Lancaster, PA
17606.
Attorneys: Gibbel Kraybill &
Hess LLP.

**Sellers, Burton a/k/a Burton
Chance Sellers**, dec'd.
Late of West Lampeter Town-
ship.
Executrix: Alexandra F. West,
616 Reservoir Road, West Ches-
ter, PA 19380.
Attorney: Frank W. Hayes,
Esquire, Hayes & Romero, 31
South High Street, West Ches-
ter, PA 19382.

Shelly, Betty J., dec'd.
Late of Manheim Township.
Executor: T. Michael Shelly c/o
Ann L. Martin, Attorney, P.O.
Box 5349, Lancaster, PA 17606.
Attorneys: Gibbel Kraybill &
Hess LLP.

**Shuman, James R. a/k/a James
Richard Shuman, Sr.**, dec'd.
Late of Earl Township.
Executor: Annette L. Liew c/o
Good & Harris, LLP, 132 West
Main Street, New Holland, PA
17557.
Attorneys: Good & Harris, LLP.

Sloat, Gordon G., dec'd.
Late of Manheim Township.
Executor: Sondra M. Sloat c/o
Law Office of Shawn Pierson,
105 East Oregon Road, Lititz,
PA 17543.
Attorney: Shawn M. Pierson,
Esq.

Smith, Debora S., dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.
Executor: Derek Harple c/o May
Herr & Grosh, LLP, 234 North
Duke Street, Lancaster, PA
17602.
Attorney: Bradley A. Zuke.

Smith, Ronald L., dec'd.
Late of Manheim Borough.
Administrator: Rick E. Smith
c/o Young and Young, 44 S.
Main Street, P.O. Box 126, Man-
heim, PA 17545.
Attorney: Young and Young.

**Torrise, Carmen a/k/a Carmen
J. Torrise**, dec'd.
Late of Quarryville Borough.
Executrix: Kelly Torrise c/o
Richard R. Reilly, Esquire, 54
N. Duke Street, York, PA 17401-
1210.
Attorney: Richard R. Reilly, Es-
quire.

Trout, Rebecca L., dec'd.
Late of Warwick Township.
Administrator: Trista R. Trout
c/o Barbara Reist Dillon, Es-
quire, 212 North Queen Street,
Lancaster, PA 17603.
Attorney: Nikolaus & Hohe-
nadel, LLP.

Vihroski, Mary, dec'd.
Late of New Holland Borough.
Executor: Barbara J. Vitch c/o
Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP, 131
W. Main Street, New Holland, PA
17557.
Attorney: Patrick A. Deibler,
Esq., Kling, Deibler & Glick,
LLP.

Warfel, Lou Sindy, dec'd.
Late of Lititz Borough.
Executor: Elois J. Warfel c/o
Nevin D. Beiler, Esq., 105 S.

Hoover Ave., New Holland, PA
17557.
Attorney: Nevin D. Beiler, Esq.

Wilson, Herbert W., II, dec'd.
Late of Manheim Township.
Administratrix: Mary E. Novak
c/o Jeffrey R. Bellomo, Esq.,
Bellomo & Associates, LLC,
3198 East Market Street, York,
PA 17402.
Attorney: Jeffrey R. Bellomo,
Esq.

SECOND PUBLICATION

Baxter, Mary C., dec'd.
Late of Ephrata Township.
Executor: Mathew D. Partyka,
143 Cedar Street, Ephrata, PA
17522.
Attorney: None.

Bugel, Robert H., dec'd.
Late of West Lampeter Town-
ship.
Executrix: Carolyn G. Bugel c/o
Vance E. Antonacci, Esquire,
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC,
570 Lausch Lane, Suite 200,
Lancaster, PA 17601.
Attorney: McNees Wallace &
Nurick LLC.

Chin, Paul B., dec'd.
Late of Mountville Borough.
Executrix: Catherine Marie Cella
c/o Stock and Leader, 221 West
Philadelphia Street, Suite 600,
York, PA 17401-2991.
Attorney: Thomas M. Shorb,
Esq.

deVitry, Cambria E., dec'd.
Late of Borough of Mount Joy.

Administrator: Nathan Carter
c/o Law Office of James Clark,
277 Millwood Road, Lancaster,
PA 17603.
Attorney: James R. Clark.

Executrix: Kerrie Lee Null c/o
Russell, Krafft & Gruber, LLP,
101 North Pointe Blvd., Suite
202, Lancaster, PA 17601.
Attorney: Nichole M. Baer.

Frey, Janice G., dec'd.

Late of West Lampeter Town-
ship.
Co-Executors: Avis N. Deckman,
Stephen C. Frey c/o Russell,
Krafft & Gruber, LLP, 101 North
Point Blvd, Suite 202, Lancast-
er, PA 17601.
Attorney: Lindsay M. Schoene-
berger.

**Getz, William F. a/k/a William
F. Getz, Sr.** dec'd.

Late of Akron Borough.
Executors: Roxann Leeper and
Kathy Adams c/o A. Antho-
ny Kilkuskie, 117A West Main
Street, Ephrata, PA 17522.
Attorney: A. Anthony Kilkuskie,
117A West Main Street, Ephra-
ta, PA 17522.

Frutchey, Clayton A., dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.
Executor: Scott A. Frutchey c/o
John R. Gibbel, Attorney, P.O.
Box 5394, Lancaster, PA 17606.
Attorney: Gibbel Kraybill &
Hess, LLP.

Griffin, Heather Ann, dec'd.

Late of Warwick Township.
Administrator: Eric M. Borgia
c/o Law Office of Shawn Pier-
son, 105 East Oregon Road,
Lititz, PA 17543.
Attorney: Shawn M. Pierson,
Esq.

Fry, John H., dec'd.

Late of Ephrata Township.
Executors: John E. Fry and
Cynthia D. Hoover c/o Nevin D.
Beiler, Esq., 105 S. Hoover Ave,
New Holland, PA 17557.
Attorney: Nevin D. Beiler, Esq.

**Hare, John W. a/k/a John West
Hare**, dec'd.

Late of East Earl Township.
Co-Executors: Robert West Hare
and Dawn E. Adams, 416 Spring
Grove Rd., East Earl, PA 17519.
Attorney: None.

Fulton, Robert H., dec'd.

Late of Conestoga Township.
Executrix: Stephanie J.K. Ful-
ton c/o Jeffrey C. Goss, Esquire,
480 New Holland Avenue, Suite
6205, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorneys: Brubaker Connaugh-
ton Goss & Lucarelli LLC.

Hart, Lola K., dec'd.

Late of Ephrata Borough.
Executors: Michael L. Hart,
Charles N. Hart c/o Nikolaus
& Hohenadel, LLP, 303 West
Fourth Street, Quarryville, PA
17566.
Attorney: Jeffrey F. Shank, Es-
quire.

Garrett, William L., dec'd.

Late of Borough of Millersville.

Heyman, Louis S., dec'd.

Late of East Cocalico Township.
Representative: Julius S. Heyman c/o John H. May, Esquire, 49 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorney: May, Herr & Grosh, LLP.

Hoffmeier, David W., dec'd.

Late of New Providence.
Executrix: Deborah L. Monk, 115 E. 2nd St., Apt 15, Quarryville, PA 17566.
Attorney: None.

Ikeda, Harriet H., dec'd.

Late of West Lampeter Township.
Executor: Richard M. Ikeda c/o May, Herr & Grosh, LLP, 234 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorney: Matthew A. Grosh.

Kendall, Leigh W. a/k/a Leigh Wakefield Kendall, dec'd.

Late of West Lampeter Township.
Executor: Bradley E. Kendall.
Leigh W. Kendall Trust dtd. 02/05/1991 as Amended and Restated 12/29/1998, 10/29/2010, and 10/24/2014.
Trustee: Bradley E. Kendall c/o Theodore L. Brubaker, Esquire, 480 New Holland Avenue, Suite 6205, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorneys: Brubaker Connaughton Goss & Lucarelli LLC.

Kuhn, Donald J., dec'd.

Late of Columbia Borough.
Executrix: Karen A. Kuhn c/o

Karl Kreiser, Esquire, 553 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.

Attorney: Mountz & Kreiser, 553 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.

Martin, Edna W. a/k/a Edna Weaver Martin, dec'd.

Late of Earl Township.
Executor: Mervin S. Martin c/o Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP, 131 W. Main Street, New Holland, PA 17557.
Attorney: Linda Kling, Esq., Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP.

Matthews, Elizabeth B., dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.
Executor: Victor Kicera c/o Law Office of Shawn Pierson, 105 East Oregon Road, Lititz, PA 17543.
Attorney: Shawn M. Pierson, Esq.

Neff, John W., dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.
Co-Executors: Darlene Y. Hamlin and J. Gary Neff.
John W. Neff Revocable Living Trust dtd. 01/30/2003 as Amended 01/08/2008, 07/03/2012, and 04/25/2013.
Co-Trustees: Darlene Y. Hamlin and J. Gary Neff c/o Jeffrey C. Goss, Esquire, 480 New Holland Avenue, Suite 6205, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorneys: Brubaker Connaughton Goss & Lucarelli LLC.

O'Conner, Patrick Thomas Michael a/k/a Patrick Michael

Thomas O'Conner, dec'd.

Late of East Hempfield Township.

Executrix: Courtney Anne Zimmerman c/o Michele A. Werder, P.O. Box 5349, Lancaster, PA 17606.

Attorneys: Gibbel, Kraybill & Hess LLP.

Sauder, Lester E., dec'd.

Late of Rapho Township.

Executrix: Krista Faye Sauder c/o Douglas A. Smith, Attorney, P.O. Box 5349, Lancaster, PA 17606.

Attorneys: Gibbel Kraybill & Hess LLP.

Schademan, Rhoda M., dec'd.

Late of Rapho Township.

Administrator: Harvey T. Schademan c/o Scott E. Albert, Esq., 50 East Main Street, Mount Joy, PA 17552.

Attorney: Scott E. Albert, Esq.

Seibel, Emma B., dec'd.

Late of West Earl Township.

Executor: Floyd Stauffer c/o Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP, 131 W. Main Street, New Holland, PA 17557.

Attorney: Ashley A. Glick, Esq., Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP.

Stauffer, Virginia L. a/k/a Virginia Stauffer, dec'd.

Late of Earl Township.

Executor: Harold A. Stauffer c/o Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP, 131 W. Main Street, New Holland, PA 17557.

Attorney: Linda Kling, Esq.,

Kling, Deibler & Glick, LLP.

Stoltzfus, Sylvia S. a/k/a Sylvia S. Glick, dec'd.

Late of Upper Leacock Township.

Executor: Paul A. Zook c/o Barbara Reist Dillon, Esquire, 212 North Queen Street, Lancaster, PA 17603.

Attorney: Nikolaus & Hohe-nadel, LLP

Strohmeyer, Ann B., dec'd.

Late of Manor Township.

Executor: Thomas E. Strohmeyer c/o Karen M. Balaban LLC, 110 Cumberland Street, Harrisburg, PA 17102.

Attorney: Karen M. Balaban, Esquire.

Thank, Mary Pamela, dec'd.

Late of West Lampeter Township.

Executor: Kathy Shaub c/o May Herr & Grosh, LLP, 234 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: Matthew A. Grosh.

Thompson, Sarah J. a/k/a Sarah Joan Thompson, dec'd.

Late of Ephrata Township.

Executor: Linda L. Hopfer c/o A. Anthony Kilkuskie, 117A West Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522.

Attorney: A. Anthony Kilkuskie, 117A West Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522.

Walsh, Barbara K. a/k/a Barbara Katherine Walsh, dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.
Executrix: Sharon M. Werst c/o
Good & Harris, LLP, 132 West
Main Street, New Holland, PA
17557.
Attorneys: Good & Harris, LLP.

Waskiel, Danuta, dec'd.

Late of Lancaster Township.
Representative: Richard S.
Chomiczewski c/o John
W. Metzger, Esquire, 901
Rohrerstown Road, Lancaster,
PA 17601.
Attorneys: Metzger and Spencer,
LLP.

THIRD PUBLICATION

Arnold, Alan L., dec'd.

Late of Columbia Borough.
Executors: Alan R. Arnold c/o
327 Locust Street, Columbia, PA
17512.
Attorney: John F. Markel, Ni-
kolaus & Hohenadel, LLP, 327
Locust Street, Columbia, PA
17512.

Bogale, Aster D., dec'd.

Late of Lancaster City.
Administratrix: Divora Bekele
c/o John H. May, Esquire, 49
North Duke Street, Lancaster,
PA 17602.
Attorney: May, Herr & Grosh,
LLP.

Cluck, Judith P., dec'd.

Late of Manor Township.
Executor: John M. Smith c/o
Nikolaus & Hohenadel, LLP, 222
S. Market St., Suite 201, Eliza-
bethtown, PA 17022.
Attorney: John M. Smith, Es-
quire.

**Crawford, Nial E. a/k/a Nial E.
Crawford, Sr.**, dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.
Executrix: Frieda Crawford c/o
Appel Yost & Zee LLP, 33 North
Duke Street, Lancaster, PA
17602.
Attorney: James W. Appel, Es-
quire.

**Fasnacht, Jessie Horton a/k/a
Jessie H. Fasnacht**, dec'd.

Late of Ephrata Borough.
Executor: Andrew H. Fasnacht
c/o E. Richard Young, Jr., Esq.,
1248 W. Main St., Ephrata, PA
17522.
Attorney: E. Richard Young,
Esq.

**Garling, Karl Ronald a/k/a K.
Ronald Garling a/k/a Karl. R.
Garling a/k/a Ronald Garling**,
dec'd.

Late of Lancaster County.
K. Ronald Garling Amended and
Restated Revocable Trust Agree-
ment dtd. June 22, 2018.
Trustee and Administratrix: Dor-
othy Diane Garling, 5060 Royal
Palm Beach Boulevard, Royal
Palm Beach, Florida 33411.
Attorney: Terence J. Barna, Es-
quire, BENNLAWFIRM, 103 East
Market Street, P.O. Box 5185,
York, Pennsylvania 17405-
5185.

**Gochal, Assunta Sue a/k/a
Assunta Gochal**, dec'd.

Late of West Lampeter Town-
ship.
Executor: Kenneth Gochal c/o
Michele A. Werder, Attorney,
P.O. Box 5349, Lancaster, PA
17606.
Attorneys: Gibbel Kraybill &
Hess LLP.

Good, Harriet E., dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.
Executor: David C. Good c/o
Angela M. Ward, Esq., 140 E.
King St., Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorney: Angela M. Ward, Esq.

Hare, Inez A. a/k/a Inez Hare,
dec'd.

Late of the Township of Ephrata.
Executor: Erik D. Hare c/o Lind-
say M. Schoeneberger, Russell,
Krafft & Gruber, LLP, 108 West
Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522.
Attorney: Lindsay M. Schoene-
berger.

Hartranft, Bonita, dec'd.

Late of East Hempfield Town-
ship.
Personal Representative: Fulton
Bank, N.A. c/o John S. May,
Esquire, 49 North Duke Street,
Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorney: May, Herr & Grosh,
LLP.

Hatter, Michael R., dec'd.

Late of Rapho Township.
Executor: Judy Behney, 2413
Crestwyck Circle, Mount Joy,
PA 17552.
Attorney: Patrick M. Reb, Es-
quire, 547 South 10th Street,
Lebanon, PA 17042.

Hoover, Jennie C., dec'd.

Late of Warwick Township.
Executor: Richard S. Hoover c/o
Appel, Yost & Zee LLP, 33 N.
Duke St., Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorney: Michael J. Rostolsky.

**Kocher, Willis R. a/k/a Willis
Ronald Kocher**, dec'd.

Late of Lancaster County.
Executrix: Linda Arlene Fritz
c/o Bruce J. Warshawsky, Es-
quire, Cunningham, Chericoff

& Warshawsky, P.C., P.O. Box
60457, Harrisburg, PA 17106-
0457.

Attorney: c/o Bruce J. War-
shawsky, Esquire.

Lawrence, Dolores M., dec'd.

Late of East Hempfield Town-
ship.

Executors: Linda D. Kopf, Mi-
chele M. Duncan c/o 50 East
Market Street, Hellam, PA
17406.

Attorney: Alexis K. Swope, Esq.

Leibfried, Linda D., dec'd.

Late of Elizabethtown Borough.
Executor: Darrell N. VanOrmer,
Jr. c/o VanOrmer & Stephen-
son, P.C., 344 South Market
Street, Suite 101, Elizabeth-
town, PA 17022.

Attorney: Daniel A. Stephenson,
Esq.

Martin, Jean M., dec'd.

Late of West Lampeter Town-
ship.

Co-Executors: Deborah J. El-
mendorf, Seldon J. Martin c/o
Russell, Krafft & Gruber, LLP,
101 North Pointe Blvd, Suite
202, Lancaster, PA 17601.

Attorney: Lindsay M. Schoene-
berger, Esquire.

Martin, Warren W., dec'd.

Late of Narvon.

Executors: Susan L. Gierschick,
875 Hill Church Road, Oley,
PA 19547; Timothy Gierschick
875 Hill Church Road, Oley,
PA 19547; Linford L. Martin
3 880 Scar Hill Road, Greencas-
tle, PA 17225.

Attorney: Mark H. Koch, Esq.,
Koch & Koch,, 217 North Sixth
Street, P.O. Box 8514, Reading,
PA 19603.

Martino, Edward D., dec'd.

Late of East Hempfield Township.

Executrix: Janice L. Martino-Gotshall c/o Clymer Musser & Sarno, PC, 408 West Chestnut Street, Lancaster, PA 17603.

Attorney: James N. Clymer, Esquire.

Myslinski, Edward Keith, dec'd.

Late of Lancaster City.

Administrator: Lucy F. Dowd c/o Lucy F. Dowd, Lucy Dowd Law LLC, 342 N. Queen Street Rear, Lancaster, PA 17603.

Attorney: Lucy F. Dowd.

Pennington, Sharon R., dec'd.

Late of Ephrata Borough.

Executor: Dann E. DeWitt c/o A. Anthony Kilkuskie, 117A West Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522. Attorney: A. Anthony Kilkuskie, 117A West Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522.

Racusin, Richard J., dec'd.

Late of Manheim Township.

Executor: Robert O. Racusin c/o Lucy F. Dowd, Lucy Dowd Law LLC, 342 N. Queen Street Rear, Lancaster, PA 17603.

Attorney: Lucy F. Dowd.

Rankin, Dennis F. a/k/a Dennis Frank Rankin, dec'd.

Late of Manor Township.

Executor: John L. Rankin c/o Nikolaus & Hohenadel, LLP, 303 West Fourth Street, Quarryville, PA 17566.

Attorney: Jeffrey S. Shank, Esquire.

Sensenig, Patricia A., dec'd.

Late of Lititz Borough.

Administrator: Daryl G. Sensenig c/o Gardner and Stevens, P.C., 109 West Main Street, Ephrata, PA 17522.

Attorney: John C. Stevens.

Shenk, Susan R., dec'd.

Late of Lancaster City.

Personal Representative: David G. Morris c/o May Herr & Grosh, LLP, 234 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: Matthew A. Grosh.

Smoker, Douglas R., dec'd.

Late of the Borough of Conestoga.

Executor: Vonda Jo Smoker c/o James R. Clark, Esquire, 277 Millwood Road, Lancaster, PA 17603.

Attorney: James R. Clark.

Splain, Darlene M., dec'd.

Late of Columbia Borough.

Executors: Steven P. Splain, Jr., c/o 327 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.

Attorney: John F. Markel, Nikolaus & Hohenadel, LLP, 327 Locust Street, Columbia, PA 17512.

Vinall, Barbara S., dec'd.

Late of West Lampeter Township.

Executor: Paige Vinall c/o May Herr & Grosh, LLP, 234 North Duke Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.

Attorney: Matthew A. Grosh.

Weaver, J. Lawrence, Sr. a/k/a Jay L. Weaver, Sr., dec'd.

Late of Lititz Borough.

Executor: Jay L. Weaver, Jr. c/o Laws, Staruch & Pisarcik, 20 Erford Rd., Ste 105, Lemoyne,

PA 17043.
Attorney: W. Scott Staruch, Esq.

Weaver, Jean M. a/k/a Jean Miller Weaver, dec'd.

Late of Lititz.
Executor: Brent A. Weaver c/o Good & Harris, LLP, 132 West Main Street, New Holland, PA 17557.
Attorneys: Good & Harris, LLP.

Williams, Clara L., dec'd.

Late of Lititz.
Executor: David D. Wilson, 2408 Raleigh Drive, Lancaster, PA 17601.
Attorney: None.

Wyatt, Edward S. a/k/a Edward Saxon Wyatt, dec'd.

Late of East Drumore Township.
Executor: Steven R. Wyatt c/o Clymer Musser & Sarno, PC, 408 West Chestnut Street, Lancaster, PA 17603.
Attorney: James N. Clymer, Esq.

Yohn, Dorothy C. a/k/a Dorothy C. (Miller) Yohn, dec'd.

Late of Manor Township Township.
Executors: Howard R. Sharp, Jr. Donna L. Strohm Debra A. Bradley c/o Mongiovi Law, LLC, 235 North Lime Street, Lancaster, PA 17602.
Attorney: Michael J. Mongiovi.

ARTICLE OF DISSOLUTION

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN to all persons interested or who may be affected, that **Community Life Network of Columbia** (T/A Columbia Life Network), a non-profit corporation with its registered office officially located at 306 North 7th Street, Columbia, PA 17512,

intends to file Articles of Dissolution with the Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and that the board of directors is now engaged in winding up and settling the affairs of said corporation so that its corporate existence can be terminated under the provisions of the Pennsylvania Nonprofit Corporation Law of 1988 as amended. The post office address to which process may be sent in an action or proceeding upon any liability incurred before the filing of the Articles of Dissolution is: Nikolaus & Hohenadel, Attorneys, 212 North Queen Street, Lancaster, PA 17603.

A-7

ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION

NOTICE is hereby given that Articles of Incorporation were filed with the Department of State, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on February 24, 2023, by **Chinese Bible Church of Lancaster**, a Pennsylvania Nonprofit Corporation, with its registered office at 4050 Marietta Avenue, Columbia, PA 17512, organized under the provisions of the Nonprofit Corporation Law of 1988, as amended.
MARC ROBERTS
Attorney

A-7

Notice is hereby given that **The Electronic Materials Conference** has been incorporated under the provisions of the Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law of 1988.
Dr. Kitty Bickford
Harbor Compliance

A-7

Notice is hereby given that **KEYHOLE INTELLIGENCE INC.**

has been incorporated under the provisions of the Pennsylvania Business Corporation Law of 1988.
JEFF GONICK
Attorney

A-7

CHANGE OF NAME NOTICES

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, a Petition has been filed with the Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, to change the name of **Bishnu Maya Thapa** to Jahnvi Thapa. The hearing is June 23, 2023 at 1:45 p.m. in Courtroom 4 of the Lancaster County Courthouse, at which time interested persons may attend and show cause, if any, why the request should not be granted.

A-7, 14

Notice is hereby given that a Petition has been filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Lancaster, County, Pennsylvania, seeking to change of name of **Detric William Uptide** to Detric William Mitchell. A hearing on the Petition will be held on April 26, 2023, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 11 at the Lancaster County Courthouse, 50 North Duke Street, Lancaster, Pennsylvania, at which time any persons interested may attend and show cause, if any, why the Petition should not be granted.

Pyfer, Reese, Straub, Gray & Farhat, P.C.

Albert J. Meier, Esquire
128 North Lime Street
Lancaster, PA 17602
(717) 299-7342

A-7

A Hearing will be held on: March 7, 2023 at 2:45 PM in Courtroom No. 4 of the Lancaster County

Court House. 50 S Duke Street, Lancaster, PA regarding the request of: **NGHIA VAN NGUYEN** To change his name from: NGHIA VAN NGUYEN to KYLE THAN. Any person with objection may attend and show cause why the request should not be granted.

A-7

FICTITIOUS NAME NOTICES

An application for registration of the fictitious name **Bowmansville Equipment Rentals**, 1091 Reading Rd, Narvon, PA 17555 has been filed in the Department of State at Harrisburg, PA, File Date 09/24/2022 pursuant to the Fictitious Names Act, Act 1982-295. The name and address of the person who is a party to the registration is: Steven L. King, 1091 Reading Rd., Lancaster, PA 17555.

A-7

Pursuant to 54 Pa.C.S. §311, notice is hereby given that an application for registration of the fictitious name, **Make Your Mark Foundation**, with its principal office at 3020 Columbia Avenue, Lancaster, PA 17603, was filed with the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of State, on January 9, 2023. The entity owning and interested in the fictitious name is the Rettew Charitable Foundation, a Pennsylvania Nonprofit Corporation, which also maintains its principal office at 3020 Columbia Avenue, Lancaster, PA 17603.

A-7

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the provisions of the Fictitious Names Act of Pennsylvania that an application for registration of a fictitious name was filed with the

Department of State of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, for the conduct of a business under the fictitious name of **Roda Property Services** a/k/a RPS with its principal place of business at 206 Southgate Drive, Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17602. The names and addresses of all persons who are parties to the registration are John A. Roda, 206 Southgate Drive, Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17602, and Ann C. Roda, 206 Southgate Drive, Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17602.

A-7

Philly Fair Trade Roasters, LLC, of 674 Yellow Hill Road, Narvon, Pennsylvania 17555, did file in the office of the Secretary of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, on March 27, 2023, registration of the name:

Wise Vending

Under which they intend to do business at 674 Yellow Hill Road, Narvon, Pennsylvania 17555, pursuant to the provisions of the Act of Assembly of December 16, 1982, Chapter 3, known as the “Fictitious Name Act.”

KLING, DEIBLER & GLICK, LLP
Attorneys

A-7

SUITS ENTERED

Defendant’s name appears first in capitals, followed by plaintiff’s name, number and plaintiff’s or appellant’s attorney.

March 23, 2023
to March 29, 2023

BALDWIN, AUBREY; Acceler-

ated Inventory Management LLC; 01944; Tsarouhis

BAUVOIR, MARCELEINE; Peaceful Homes Property Management; 01999

BOWER, MATTHEW STEPHEN; Victorio Ayala; 02055; Swartz

CLINGER, SARAH, BROWN, ANN; Mervin Stoltzfus; 02122

COLUMBIA BOROUGH ZONING HEARING BOARD ; Awakened Properties LLC; 02012; O’Rourke

EDGE LAST-MILE LLC, AMAZON.COM LLC, AMAZON LOGISTICS INC, AMAZON.COM INC, CABOT, MARK A; Betty L. Baum; 02137; May

HANLEY, SHANE R.; RSB Real Estate LLC; 02073; Mersky

LEAS, ERIC; PNC Bank National Association; 02106 ; Loewy

LEFEVER, PAUL E; Ruthanne Lefever, 02098; Aegbuniwe

MARCANO-RODRIGUEZ, JUAN GABRIEL; BP Group, LP; 02023; Quain

MARTIN, XAVIER, MARTIN ENTERPRISES LLC, MARTIN CFS; Michael Brown; 02056; Wartman

MCCUE, MICHAEL; Citadel Federal Credit Union; 01948; Dougherty

OAK LEAF MANOR NORTH INC, SENIOR CARE - OLM NORTH LLC, OAK LEAF MANOR NORTH, CARDINAL SENIOR MANAGEMENT LLC, CARDINAL STAFFING LLC; Tara Folker; 01975; Atlee

REITZEL, STEVEN; Realty Professional Group; 02021

SAADIA DISTRIBUTION LLC; Phoenix/Packaging Inc; 01946; Cook

SCHULTZ, JENNIFER L.; Lancaster Green GP LLC; 01956; Bonner

SHAFFER, CONNIE L.; PNC Bank

National Association; 02116

SHEARER, STEPHANIE,
SHEARER, MARY S., LUCHKO,
JENNIFER; Carrington Mortgage
Services LLC; 01971; Foley

SHORT, REGINALD W.; Rede-
velopment Authority of the City of
Lancaster; 01945; Mincarelli

SILVIUS, ANDREW S., PORTER,
ANDREW RAYMOND; Karen D.
Thomas; 01987; Hagelgans

TORREULLAS-MALAVE, ED-
GAR J; Lancaster Green GP LLC;
01953; Bonner

UPMC HANOVER, UPMC PIN-
NACLE HANOVER, UPMC PIN-
NACLE MEDICAL GROUP – HA-
NOVER, UPMC PRIMARY CARE
HILLSIDE, HANOVER HEALTH
CORPORATION, HANOVER
MEDICAL GROUP, WELLSPAN
HEALTH, DOYKA JR CRNP, RICH-
ARD, KRZEMINSKI MD, JOSEPH
P, WELLSPAN MEDICAL GROUP,
WELLSPAN NEUROSURGERY,
KUNDU MD, MOUSHUMI, YORK
NEUROSURGICAL ASSOCIATES
PC; Glenda Elizabeth O'regan;
02088; Marciano

VITALE, XAVIER; Tabitha Cooke;
02101; Hyder

ZECHER, BARRY, ZECHER,
LEAOMA; Ashley Michelle Pries;
01947; Mazack